

Library

CM

FEDERAL REGISTER

THE NATIONAL ARCHIVES
 LITTERA SCRIPTA MANET
 OF THE UNITED STATES
 1934
 VOLUME 28
 NUMBER 12

Washington, Thursday, January 17, 1963

Contents

Agricultural Stabilization and Conservation Service

NOTICES:

Areas of venue for marketing quota review committee panels; establishment..... 460

Agriculture Department

See Agricultural Stabilization and Conservation Service.

Army Department

See Engineers Corps.

Civil Aeronautics Board

NOTICES:

Oy Lontorahti Finlantic AB; application for foreign air carrier permit; show cause order..... 461

Civil Service Commission

NOTICES:

Positions for which there is manpower shortage; certain research and development positions in biological sciences..... 463

RULES AND REGULATIONS:

Exception from competitive service; Housing and Home Finance Agency..... 443

Defense Department

See Engineers Corps.

Engineers Corps

RULES AND REGULATIONS:

Bridges; Miami River, Fla..... 452

Federal Aviation Agency

PROPOSED RULE MAKING:

Federal airway; alteration..... 455

Federal airway, associated control areas and reporting point; designation..... 455

RULES AND REGULATIONS:

Air traffic; operation at airports..... 443

Restricted area; alternation..... 443

Standard instrument approach procedures; miscellaneous amendments..... 444

Federal Communications Commission

NOTICES:

Hearings, etc.:

American Telephone and Telegraph Co. et al..... 463
 Black Hills Video Corp..... 464
 Coastal Broadcasters, Inc., et al..... 464
 Mississippi Valley Microwave Co., Inc..... 465
 People's Broadcasting Co. (WPBC) and Gabriel Broadcasting Co..... 465
 Southern Radio and Television Co. and Robert Hecksher..... 465
 Warsaw-Mount Olive Broadcasting Co..... 465

Federal Power Commission

NOTICES:

Hearings, etc.:

Arizona Power Authority..... 465
 Texas Eastern Transmission Corp..... 465
 Thompson, Dale M., et al..... 466
 Trunkline Gas Co..... 466

Federal Trade Commission

RULES AND REGULATIONS:

Guides for advertising fallout shelters; revision..... 452
 Prohibited trade practices: Esther Wolf, Inc., et al..... 451
 Gillmore, Howard B..... 451

Fish and Wildlife Service

RULES AND REGULATIONS:

Sport fishing; Ruby Lake National Wildlife Refuge, Nevada..... 453

Food and Drug Administration

RULES AND REGULATIONS:

Color additives; provisional list; correction..... 452

Health, Education, and Welfare Department

See Food and Drug Administration.

Interior Department

See Fish and Wildlife Service; Land Management Bureau.

Interstate Commerce Commission

NOTICES:

Buford, Curtis Donald; statement of changes in financial interests..... 468
 Fourth section application for relief..... 468
 Motor carrier transfer proceedings..... 468
 RULES AND REGULATIONS: Motor carriers; annual reports of Class I carriers of property..... 453

Labor Department

See Wage and Hour Division.

Land Management Bureau

NOTICES:

Alaska; small tract classification; partial cancellation..... 459
 Nevada; filing of protraction diagrams..... 459
 Proposed withdrawal and reservation of lands: Alaska; termination..... 458
 California (2 documents)..... 458, 459
 Nevada..... 458
 Oregon; termination (3 documents)..... 460
 Utah..... 460

Renegotiation Board

NOTICES:

Statement of organization..... 468

RULES AND REGULATIONS:

Conduct of renegotiation; filing of information and requests by contractor..... 453

(Continued on next page)

Con Hyde

Securities and Exchange Commission

NOTICES:

Hearings, etc.:

Cosmopolitan Life & Casualty Co.----- 466

Del Consolidated Industries, Inc.----- 467

HBNS Corp.----- 467

PROPOSED RULE MAKING:

Statement of policy regarding unauthorized ex parte communications between persons outside the Commission and decisional personnel----- 455

Tariff Commission

NOTICES:

Workers' petition for determination of eligibility to apply for adjustment assistance; investigation----- 468

Veterans Administration

RULES AND REGULATIONS:

Delegations of authority; Chief Medical Director----- 453

Medical; miscellaneous amendments----- 453

Wage and Hour Division

RULES AND REGULATIONS:

Employment of messengers; revocation of delegation of authority----- 452

Codification Guide

The following numerical guide is a list of the parts of each title of the Code of Federal Regulations affected by documents published in today's issue. A cumulative list of parts affected, covering the current month to date, appears at the end of each issue beginning with the second issue of the month.

Monthly, quarterly, and annual cumulative guides, published separately from the daily issues, include the section numbers as well as the part numbers affected.

3 CFR

PROCLAMATIONS:

Feb. 25, 1893 (see F.R. Doc. 63-479)----- 458

5 CFR

6----- 443

14 CFR

60----- 443

73 [New]----- 443

609----- 444

PROPOSED RULES:

71 [New] (2 documents)----- 455

16 CFR

13 (2 documents)----- 451

14----- 452

17 CFR

PROPOSED RULES:

200----- 455

21 CFR

8----- 452

29 CFR

523----- 452

32 CFR

1472----- 453

33 CFR

203----- 452

38 CFR

2----- 453

17----- 453

49 CFR

205----- 453

50 CFR

33----- 453

1962-63 Edition

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT ORGANIZATION MANUAL

[Revised as of June 1, 1962]

Official handbook of the Federal Government, describing the organization and functions of the agencies in the legislative, judicial, and executive branches

Price: \$1.50

Published by Office of the Federal Register, National Archives and Records Service, General Services Administration

Order from Superintendent of Documents, Government Printing Office, Washington 25, D.C.

FEDERAL REGISTER

Telephone

WO 3-3261

prescribed by the Administrative Committee of the Federal Register, approved by the President. Distribution is made only by the Superintendent of Documents, Government Printing Office, Washington 25, D.C.

The FEDERAL REGISTER will be furnished by mail to subscribers, free of postage, for \$1.50 per month or \$15.00 per year, payable in advance. The charge for individual copies (minimum 15 cents) varies in proportion to the size of the issue. Remit check or money order, made payable to the Superintendent of Documents, directly to the Government Printing Office, Washington 25, D.C.

The regulatory material appearing herein is keyed to the CODE OF FEDERAL REGULATIONS, which is published, under 50 titles, pursuant to section 11 of the Federal Register Act, as amended August 5, 1953. The CODE OF FEDERAL REGULATIONS is sold by the Superintendent of Documents. Prices of books and pocket supplements vary.

There are no restrictions on the republication of material appearing in the FEDERAL REGISTER, or the CODE OF FEDERAL REGULATIONS.



Rules and Regulations

Title 5—ADMINISTRATIVE PERSONNEL

Chapter I—Civil Service Commission

PART 6—EXCEPTIONS FROM THE COMPETITIVE SERVICE

Housing and Home Finance Agency

Effective upon publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER, subparagraph (28) is added to paragraph (b) of § 6.342 as set out below.

§ 6.342 Housing and Home Finance Agency.

(b) *Federal Housing Administration.* * * *

(28) One Assistant to the Commissioner—Special Projects.

(R.S. 1753, sec. 2, 22 Stat. 403, as amended; 5 U.S.C. 631, 633)

UNITED STATES CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION,

[SEAL] MARY V. WENZEL,

Executive Assistant to the Commissioners.

[F.R. Doc. 63-510; Filed, Jan. 16, 1963; 8:51 a.m.]

Title 14—AERONAUTICS AND SPACE

Chapter I—Federal Aviation Agency

[Reg. Docket No. 531; Amdt. 60-32]

SUBCHAPTER A—CIVIL AIR REGULATIONS

PART 60—AIR TRAFFIC RULES

Operation at Airports

Civil Air Regulations (CAR) Amendment 60-24, effective December 26, 1961 (26 F.R. 9069), established the requirement currently contained in § 60.18(b) (6) (ii) that fixed-wing aircraft, approaching to land on a runway served by visual glide slope devices, be flown so as to remain at or above the glide slope until arrival at the runway threshold. Since the adoption of the amendment, experience has indicated that the requirement is too restrictive and, in certain cases, might have an adverse effect on the safety of flight. Federal Aviation Agency studies, as well as comments received from the Air Transport Association and the Air Line Pilots Association, indicate that by remaining at or above the glide slope until reaching the runway threshold, aircraft may be forced to either descend at an excessive rate in order to effectively utilize the runway, or to touch down at a point considerably farther down the runway than is desirable, depending upon the variables of wind, temperature, and aircraft type.

Safety of flight is of paramount consideration, and the Agency, in discharg-

ing its regulatory responsibility, is responsive to amendment of its regulations for this reason. Accordingly, § 60.18(b) (6) (ii) is being amended so as to permit flight below the visual glide slope during the final stages of an approach to landing where noise abatement ceases to be a prime consideration. This amendment will permit the maneuvering necessary to touch down near the runway threshold without significant adverse effect upon the basic intent of the rule.

Inasmuch as this amendment relaxes an existing requirement, compliance with the notice, public procedure and effective date requirements of the Administrative Procedure Act is unnecessary.

In consideration of the foregoing, Civil Air Regulations Part 60, § 60.18(b) (6) (ii) (14 CFR Part 60) is hereby amended to read as follows:

(ii) *Operation on and in the vicinity of an airport.* When approaching to land on a runway served by visual glide slope devices, fixed-wing aircraft shall be flown so as to remain at or above the glide slope until flight below the glide slope is necessary to complete a safe landing.

This amendment shall become effective upon publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

(Sec. 307, Federal Aviation Act of 1958; 72 Stat. 749; 49 U.S.C. 1348)

Issued in Washington, D.C., on January 11, 1963.

N. E. HALABY,
Administrator.

[F.R. Doc. 63-464; Filed, Jan. 16, 1963; 8:45 a.m.]

SUBCHAPTER E—AIRSPACE [NEW]

[Airspace Docket No. 61-LA-120]

PART 73—SPECIAL USE AIRSPACE [NEW]

Alteration of Restricted Area

In a notice of proposed rule making published in the FEDERAL REGISTER on February 3, 1962 (27 F.R. 1035), it was stated that the Federal Aviation Agency was considering the alteration of the lateral dimensions of the China Lake South, Calif., Restricted Area R-2506.

In a supplemental notice of proposed rule making published in the FEDERAL REGISTER on September 19, 1962 (27 F.R. 9263), it was stated that the Department of the Navy had submitted information indicating a need for an area larger than that proposed for retention in the original notice. Further, the Navy had stated a requirement to increase the ceiling of the redesignated area from 6,000 feet MSL to 8,000 feet MSL. Accordingly, the time within which comments were to be received for consideration was extended to October 15, 1962.

A number of objections were received from interested parties objecting to the proposed increase in the ceiling of R-

2506. The objectors pointed out that the configuration of present restricted areas in this general area result in considerable additional flying time for an aircraft traveling between Inyokern Airport, Calif., and Trona Airport, Calif. The 2,000-foot increase requested by the Navy would add to the burden presently imposed upon other airspace users in this area. As a result of the objections received, the Federal Aviation Agency requested the Navy to reevaluate their requirements at China Lake. The Navy has completed its reevaluation and has determined that the maneuvers and weapons drop requiring protection to both participating and nonparticipating aircraft can be contained entirely within the present ceiling of 6,000 feet MSL. While it is true some portions of the flight will extrude above 6,000 feet MSL, the Navy has stated that inasmuch as the pilots normally utilizing this area possess a high level of experience, such operations can safely be conducted on a "see and be seen" basis. Accordingly, the Navy is withdrawing its request for the increase in ceiling.

Interested persons have been afforded an opportunity to participate in the making of the rule herein adopted and due consideration has been given to all relevant matter presented.

Subsequent to the issuance of the notices, Part 608 of the regulations of the Administrator has been recodified into a new Part 73 [New] of the Federal Aviation Regulations which became effective December 12, 1962 (27 F.R. 10352). The airspace action taken herein reflects the new format and numbering system adopted for this part.

The substance of the proposed amendment having been published, therefore, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator (25 F.R. 12582), and for the reasons stated herein and in the notice, the following action is taken:

In § 73.25 *California*, R-2506 China Lake South, Calif. (27 F.R. 7328, 10352) is amended to read:

R-2506 China Lake South, Calif.

Boundaries. Beginning at latitude 35°37'30" N., longitude 117°41'20" W.; to latitude 35°28'00" N., longitude 117°40'50" W.; to latitude 35°28'00" N., longitude 117°47'00" W.; to latitude 35°37'30" N., longitude 117°47'30" W.; to the point of beginning.

Designated altitudes. Surface to 6,000 feet MSL.

Time of designation. Sunrise to sunset, Monday through Friday.

Controlling agency. Federal Aviation Agency, Los Angeles ARTC Center.

Using agency. Commander, Naval Ordnance Test Station, China Lake, Calif.

This amendment shall become effective 0001 e.s.t. March 7, 1963.

(Sec. 307(a), 72 Stat. 749; 49 U.S.C. 1348)

Issued in Washington, D.C., on January 10, 1963.

D. D. THOMAS,
Director, Air Traffic Service.

[F.R. Doc. 63-463; Filed, Jan. 16, 1963; 8:45 a.m.]

RULES AND REGULATIONS

Chapter III—Federal Aviation Agency
SUBCHAPTER E—AIR NAVIGATION REGULATIONS
 [Reg. Docket No. 1531; Amdt. 304]

PART 609—STANDARD INSTRUMENT APPROACH PROCEDURES**Miscellaneous Amendments**

The amendments to standard instrument approach procedures contained herein are being adopted to become effective when indicated in order to promote safety. The revised procedures supersede the existing procedures of the same classification now in effect for the airports specified therein. For the convenience of the users, the revised procedures specify the complete procedure and indicate the changes to the existing procedures.

As a situation exists which demands immediate action in the interests of safety in air commerce, I find that compliance with the notice, procedure and effective date provisions of section 4 of the Administrative Procedure Act would be contrary to the public interest and is therefore not required.

Pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator (24 F.R. 5662), Part 609 is amended as follows:

1. The low or medium frequency range procedures prescribed in § 609.100(a) are amended to read in part:

LFR STANDARD INSTRUMENT APPROACH PROCEDURE

Bearings, headings, courses and radials are magnetic. Elevations and altitudes are in feet MSL. Ceilings are in feet above airport elevation. Distances are in nautical miles unless otherwise indicated, except visibilities which are in statute miles.

If an instrument approach procedure of the above type is conducted at the below named airport, it shall be in accordance with the following instrument approach procedure, unless an approach is conducted in accordance with a different procedure for such airport authorized by the Administrator of the Federal Aviation Agency. Initial approaches shall be made over specified routes. Minimum altitudes shall correspond with those established for en route operation in the particular area or as set forth below.

Transition		Course and distance	Minimum altitude (feet)	Condition	Ceiling and visibility minimums		
From—	To—				2-engine or less		More than 2-engine, more than 65 knots
					65 knots or less	More than 65 knots	

PROCEDURE CANCELLED, EFFECTIVE JAN. 26, 1963, OR UPON DECOMMISSIONING OF EVE LFR.

City, Everett; State, Wash.; Airport Name, Paine Field; Elev., 603'; Fac. Class., MRLWZ; Ident., EVE; Procedure No. 1, Amdt. 2; Eff. Date, 1 Dec. 62; Sup. Amdt. No. 1; Dated, 16 Dec. 61

Wayland Int.-----	GRR LFR-----	Direct-----	2500	T-dn-----	300-1	300-1	*300-1
Ada Int.-----	GRR LFR-----	Direct-----	2500	C-dn-----	500-1	500-1	500-1½
Comstock Int.-----	GRR LFR-----	Direct-----	2500	A-dn-----	800-2	800-2	800-2
Caledonia Int.-----	GRR LFR-----	Direct-----	2500				
GRR LOM-----	GRR LFR-----	Direct-----	2500				

Procedure turn E side SE crs, 123° Outbnd, 303° Inbnd, 2500' within 10 miles.

Minimum altitude over facility on final approach crs, 1500'.

Crs and distance, facility to airport, 306°—1.7 miles.

If visual contact not established upon descent to authorized landing minimums or if landing not accomplished within 1.7 miles after passing GRR LFR, climb to 2500' on NW crs of GRR, then return to the LFR or, when directed by ATC, make climbing left turn to 2900' and proceed direct to GRR LOM.

CAUTION: 1180' tower 5 miles south. 907' stack 0.5 miles WSW, 1820' tower 10 miles NNE of airport.

AIR CARRIER NOTE: *200-½ authorized on 18L and 36R only.

City, Grand Rapids; State, Mich.; Airport Name, Kent County; Elev., 692'; Fac. Class., SBMRLZ; Ident., GRR; Procedure No. 1, Amdt. 10; Eff. Date, 26 Jan. 63; Sup. Amdt. No. 9; Dated, 21 Feb. 59

PROCEDURE CANCELLED, EFFECTIVE JAN. 26, 1963.

City, Minneapolis; State, Minn.; Airport Name, Minneapolis-St. Paul International (Wold-Chamberlain Field); Elev., 840'; Fac. Class., SBRAZ; Ident., MP; Procedure No. 1, Amdt. 12; Eff. Date, 4 Feb. 61; Sup. Amdt. No. 11; Dated, 26 Dec. 59

PDT-VOR-----	PN-LFR-----	Direct-----	3500	T-dn-----	300-1	300-1	200-½
				C-dn-----	500-1	500-1	500-1½
				A-dn-----	800-2	800-2	800-2

Procedure turn N side of E crs, 057° Outbnd, 237° Inbnd, 3500' within 10 miles. NA beyond 10 miles.

Final approach from holding pattern at PN LFR not authorized, procedure turn required.

Minimum altitude over facility on final approach crs, 2400'.

Crs and distance, facility to airport, 259°—1.7 miles.

If visual contact not established upon descent to authorized landing minimums or if landing not accomplished within 1.7 miles after passing PN LFR, climb to 4000' on W crs Pendleton LFR within 20 miles.

CAUTION: High terrain 3835' 8 miles south of Athena Int.

Other changes: Deletes transitions from Cabbage Hill FM and Athena Int. Deletes straight-in minima.

City, Pendleton; State, Oreg.; Airport Name, Pendleton Municipal; Elev., 1493'; Fac. Class., SABRAZ; Ident., PN; Procedure No. 1, Amdt. 12; Eff. Date, 26 Jan. 63; Sup. Amdt. No. 11; Dated, 6 May 61

SZI MHW-----	SJ LFR-----	Direct-----	2000	T-dn-----	300-1	300-1	200-½
Burton Int.-----	SJ LFR-----	Direct-----	2000	C-dn-----	800-2	800-2	800-2
TM LFR-----	SJ LFR-----	Direct-----	2000	A-dn-----	800-2	800-2	800-2
SE LOM-----	SJ LFR (Final)-----	Direct-----	1200				
BF LOM-----	SJ LFR-----	Direct-----	2000				

Radar transitions and vectoring using Seattle-Tacoma Radar authorized in accordance with approved radar patterns.

Procedure turn E side of S crs, 176° Outbnd, 356° Inbnd, 2000' within 10 miles. NA beyond 10 miles.

Minimum altitude over facility on final approach crs, 1200'.

Crs and distance, facility to airport, 290°—2.1 miles.

If visual contact not established upon descent to authorized landing minimums or if landing not accomplished within 2.1 miles after passing SJ-LFR, climb to 2000' direct to BF LOM or, when directed by ATC, climb to 2000' on NW crs SJ-LFR within 10 miles.

CAUTION: 606' tank 3 miles W and 578' tower 3½ miles NW of Boeing Field.

Other change: Deletes transitions from EVE-LFR and Vashon Int.

City, Seattle; State, Wash.; Airport Name, King County (Boeing Field); Elev., 17'; Fac. Class., SABRAZ; Ident., SJ; Procedure No. 1, Amdt. 17; Eff. Date, 26 Jan. 63; Sup. Amdt. No. 16; Dated, 11 Nov. 61

LFR STANDARD INSTRUMENT APPROACH PROCEDURE—Continued

Transition				Ceiling and visibility minimums			
From—	To—	Course and distance	Minimum altitude (feet)	Condition	2-engine or less		More than 2-engine, more than 65 knots
					65 knots or less	More than 65 knots	
SZI RBN.....	SJ-LFR (Final).....	Direct.....	1200	T-dn.....	300-1	300-1	200-1/2
TM-LFR.....	SJ-LFR.....	Direct.....	2000	C-dn.....	500-1	500-1	500-1 1/2
SE LOM.....	SJ-LFR.....	Direct.....	2000	A-dn.....	800-2	800-2	800-2
BF LOM.....	SJ-LFR (Final).....	Direct.....	1500				

Radar transitions and vectoring using Seattle-Tacoma Radar authorized in accordance with approved radar patterns.

Procedure turn, W side NW crs, 297° Outbnd, 117° Inbnd, 2000' within 10 miles.

Minimum altitude over SZI RBN on final approach crs, 1500'; over SJ-LFR, 1200'.

Crs and distance, facility to airport, 194°—3.5 miles.

If visual contact not established upon descent to authorized landing minimums or if landing not accomplished within 3.5 miles after passing SJ-LFR, climb to 2000' on S crs SJ-LFR to SE LOM or, when directed by ATC, climb to 2000' on S crs SJ-LFR within 10 miles.

CAUTION: Terrain and trees to 591' msl located immediately north and northeast of airport.

Other change: Deletes transitions from Vashon Int, Hobart FM, and EVE-LFR.

City, Seattle; State, Wash.; Airport Name, Seattle-Tacoma International; Elev., 428'; Fac. Class., SABRAZ; Ident., SJ; Procedure No. 1, Amdt. 17; Eff. Date, 26 Jan. 63; Sup. Amdt. No. 16; Dated, 11 Nov. 61

PROCEDURE CANCELLED, EFFECTIVE JAN. 26, 1963, OR UPON CONVERSION OF LFR TO SBAH FACILITY.

City, Traverse City; State, Mich.; Airport Name, Traverse City; Elev., 623'; Fac. Class., SABRAZ; Ident., TV; Procedure No. 1, Amdt. 7; Eff. Date, 24 Feb. 62; Sup. Amdt. No. 6; Dated, 21 Feb. 59

2. The automatic direction finding procedures prescribed in § 609.100(b) are amended to read in part:

ADF STANDARD INSTRUMENT APPROACH PROCEDURE

Bearings, headings, courses and radials are magnetic. Elevations and altitudes are in feet MSL. Ceilings are in feet above airport elevation. Distances are in nautical miles unless otherwise indicated, except visibilities which are in statute miles.

If an instrument approach procedure of the above type is conducted at the below named airport, it shall be in accordance with the following instrument approach procedure, unless an approach is conducted in accordance with a different procedure for such airport authorized by the Administrator of the Federal Aviation Agency. Initial approaches shall be made over specified routes. Minimum altitudes shall correspond with those established for en route operation in the particular area or as set forth below.

Transition				Ceiling and visibility minimums			
From—	To—	Course and distance	Minimum altitude (feet)	Condition	2-engine or less		More than 2-engine, more than 65 knots
					65 knots or less	More than 65 knots	
Florida Int.....	AID RBN.....	Direct.....	2400	T-dn.....	300-1	300-1	200-1/2
Cowan Int.....	AID RBN.....	Direct.....	2500	C-dn.....	500-1	500-1	500-1 1/2
Lindwood Int*.....	AID RBN.....	Direct.....	2400	S-dn-12.....	500-1	500-1	500-1
Maxwell Int.....	AID RBN.....	Direct.....	2400	A-dn.....	NA	NA	NA
Pendleton Int.....	AID RBN.....	Direct.....	2400				

Procedure turn S side of crs, 277° Outbnd, 097° Inbnd, 2400' within 10 miles.

Minimum altitude over facility on final approach crs, 1500'.

Crs and distance, facility to airport, 118°—3.1 miles.

If visual contact not established upon descent to authorized landing minimums or if landing not accomplished within 3.1 miles after passing RBN, make left 180° climbing turn to 2400' and return to AID RBN.

NOTE: No weather available.

*Lindwood Int: Int SHB R-005 and Ind R-053.

City, Anderson; State, Ind.; Airport Name, Anderson Municipal; Elev., 913'; Fac. Class., MHW; Ident., AID; Procedure No. 1, Amdt. Orig.; Eff. Date, 26 Jan. 63

				T-dn.....	300-1	300-1	NA
				C-dn.....	500-1	500-1	NA
				S-dn-11.....	500-1	500-1	NA
				A-dn.....	800-2	800-2	NA

Procedure turn S side of final approach crs, 292° Outbnd, 112° Inbnd, 2100' within 10 miles.

Minimum altitude over facility on final approach crs, 1400'.

Crs and distance, facility to airport, 112°—3.0 miles.

If visual contact not established upon descent to authorized landing minimums or if landing not accomplished within 3 miles after passing Coatesville RBN, make a right climbing turn to 2100', returning to Coatesville RBN. Hold west, 1-minute right turns, 112° Inbnd.

City, Coatesville; State, Pa.; Airport Name, Chester County Area; Elev., 660'; Fac. Class., MHW; Ident., CVE; Procedure No. 1, Amdt. Orig.; Eff. Date, 26 Jan. 63

Gardner VOR.....	Fitchburg RBN.....	Direct.....	3100	T-dn.....	1000-2	1000-2	NA
				C-dn.....	1200-2	1200-2	NA
				A-dn.....	NA	NA	NA

Procedure turn N side of crs, 140° Outbnd, 320° Inbnd, 2500' within 10 miles.

Minimum altitude over facility on final approach crs, 1600'.

Facility on airport.

If visual contact not established upon descent to authorized landing minimums or if landing not accomplished within 0.0 miles, climb to 3000' on 320° brng from FIT RBN within 10 miles. Hold NW of FIT RBN, 140° Inbnd, 1-minute right turns.

AIK CARRIER NOTE: Sliding scale and provisions for reduced visibility not applicable below one ml.

NOTE: Facility must be monitored aurally during this procedure. Facility operated by City.

City, Fitchburg; State, Mass.; Airport Name, Municipal; Elev., 350'; Fac. Class., MH; Ident., FIT; Procedure No. 1, Amdt. 1; Eff. Date, 26 Jan. 63; Sup Amdt. No. Orig.; Dated, 22 Apr. 58

RULES AND REGULATIONS

ADF STANDARD INSTRUMENT APPROACH PROCEDURE—Continued

Transition				Ceiling and visibility minimums			
From—	To—	Course and distance	Minimum altitude (feet)	Condition	2-engine or less		More than 2-engine, more than 65 knots
					65 knots or less	More than 65 knots	
Downey RBN/FM.....	LA LOM (Final).....	Direct.....	1500	T-dn..... C-dn..... A-dn.....	300-1 600-1 800-2	300-1 600-1 800-2	300-1 600-1½ 800-2

Radial vector to final approach course by Los Angeles Radar via approved patterns authorized.

Procedure turn NA.

Minimum altitude over LAX LOM on final approach crs, 1500'.

Crs and distance, facility to airport, 225°—3.0 miles.

If visual contact not established upon descent to authorized landing minimums or if landing not accomplished within 3 miles after passing LA LOM, climb to 2000' on 225° track of LA LOM within 20 miles.

NOTE: This procedure authorized only when LAX Approach Radar and Hawthorne Tower are in operation.

City, Hawthorne; State, Calif.; Airport Name, Municipal; Elev., 64'; Fac. Class., LOM; Ident., LA; Procedure No. 1, Amdt. 1; Eff. Date, 26 Jan. 63; Sup. Amdt. No. Orig.; Dated, 20 Oct. 62

Argyle Int*.....	EOK RBN.....	Direct.....	2300	T-dn.....	300-1	300-1	
Alexandria Int**.....	EOK RBN.....	Direct.....	2300	C-dn.....	500-1	500-1	
Galland Int#.....	EOK RBN.....	Direct.....	2300	A-dn.....	NA	NA	
McCall Int##.....	EOK RBN.....	Direct.....	2300				

Procedure turn W side of crs, 305° Outbnd, 125° Inbnd, 2300' within 10 miles.

Minimum altitude over facility on final approach crs, 1200'.

Facility on airport.

If visual contact not established upon descent to authorized landing minimums or if landing not accomplished within 0.0 mile, climb to 2300' on crs 125° within 10 miles and return to EOK RBN.

*Argyle Int: Int OTM R-125 and BRL R-240.

**Alexandria Int: Int UIN R-335 and BRL R-225.

#Galland Int: Int BRL R-240 and 014° brng EOK RBN.

##McCall Int: Int BRL R-225 and 090° brng EOK RBN.

City, Keokuk; State, Iowa; Airport Name, Municipal; Elev., 671'; Fac. Class., MH; Ident., EOK; Procedure No. 1, Amdt. Orig.; Eff. Date, 26 Jan. 63

All directions.....	Tutuila RBN.....	Direct.....	4100	T-dn..... C-d**..... C-n*..... A-dn.....	800-2 800-2 1000-3 1000-3	800-2 800-2 1000-3 1000-3	*200-½ 800-2 1000-3 1000-3
---------------------	------------------	-------------	------	---	------------------------------------	------------------------------------	-------------------------------------

After crossing TUT RBN on initial approach, do not descend below 3100' until 2.5 miles outbnd on 100° brng SW side of crs 100° Outbnd, 280° Inbnd, 1000' within 15 miles. Nonstandard due 1600' terrain NE.

Minimum altitude on final approach crs, 800'. Descend to 800' immediately upon completion of procedure turn.

Flight to airport under VFR conditions at authorized minimums required.

Facility on airport.

If visual contact not established upon descent to 800', reverse course to the left and climb to 4100' within 20 miles. After reaching 4100', proceed to TUT RBN.

CAUTION: Terrain 1265', 1 mile NW; 1000', 1 mile N; 1545', 1.7 miles N.

*200-½ authorized for takeoff Runway 5 only. 800-2 required Runway 23 with left turn after takeoff.

**Circling to N or NW not authorized.

City, Pago Pago, Tutuila Island; State, American Samoa; Airport Name, Pago Pago International; Elev., 6'; Fac. Class., HW; Ident., TUT; Procedure No. 1, Amdt. 1; Eff. Date, 26 Jan. 63; Sup. Amdt. No. Orig.; Dated, 23 Jan. 60

SGF VOR.....	SF RBN.....	Direct.....	2800	T-dn..... C-dn..... S-dn-13..... A-dn.....	300-1 500-1 400-1 800-2	300-1 500-1 400-1 800-2	200-½ 500-1½ 400-1 800-2
--------------	-------------	-------------	------	---	----------------------------------	----------------------------------	-----------------------------------

Procedure turn W side of crs, 317° Outbnd, 137° Inbnd, 2800' within 10 miles.

Minimum altitude over facility on final approach crs, 2300'.

Crs and distance, facility to airport, 137°—3.6 miles.

If visual contact not established upon descent to authorized landing minimums or if landing not accomplished within 3.6 miles after passing RBN, climb to 3000' on 134° brng from SF RBN within 15 miles.

City, Springfield; State, Mo.; Airport Name, Municipal; Elev., 1267'; Fac. Class., SABH; Ident., SF; Procedure No. 2, Amdt. Orig.; Eff. Date, 26 Jan. 63

				T-dn..... C-d..... C-n..... A-dn.....	300-1 400-1 400-1½ 800-2	300-1 500-1 500-1½ 800-2	200-½ 500-1½ 500-1½ 800-2
--	--	--	--	--	-----------------------------------	-----------------------------------	------------------------------------

Procedure turn E side of crs, 139° Outbnd, 319° Inbnd, 2600' within 10 miles.

Minimum altitude over facility on final approach crs, 1600'.

Crs and distance, facility to airport, 319°—1.9 miles.

If visual contact not established upon descent to authorized landing minimums or if landing not accomplished within 1.9 miles after passing RBN, climb to 2700' on crs 319° and proceed direct to the TV RBN.

CAUTION: 1545' tower 5 mi NW of airport.

City, Traverse City; State, Mich.; Airport Name, Traverse City; Elev., 623'; Fac. Class., SBAH; Ident., TV; Procedure No. 1, Amdt. Orig.; Eff. Date, 26 Jan. 63

AUG-VOR.....	AVI RBN.....	Direct.....	1700	T-dn..... C-dn..... A-dn*.....	300-1 500-1 NA	300-1 500-1 NA	
--------------	--------------	-------------	------	--------------------------------------	----------------------	----------------------	--

Procedure turn E side of crs, 228° Outbnd, 048° Inbnd, 1700' within 10 miles.

Minimum altitude over facility on final approach crs, 1100'.

Crs and distance, facility to airport, 048°—1.8 miles.

If visual contact not established upon descent to authorized landing minimums or if landing not accomplished within 1.8 miles after passing AVI RBN, make left climbing turn to 1700', return to AVI RBN, hold SW 048° Inbnd, 1-minute right turns.

NOTES: 1. Facility must be monitored aurally during use. 2. A/C will maintain communications with BOS CTR/AUG FSS until landing is assured.

*No weather available.

City, Waterville; State, Maine; Airport Name, Robert La Fleury; Elev., 332'; Fac. Class., MHW; Ident., AVI; Procedure No. 1, Amdt. 1; Eff. Date, 26 Jan. 63; Sup. Amdt. No. Orig.; Dated, 22 Dec. 62

3. The very high frequency omnirange (VOR) procedures prescribed in § 609.100(c) are amended to read in part:

VOR STANDARD INSTRUMENT APPROACH PROCEDURE

Bearings, headings, courses and radials are magnetic. Elevations and altitudes are in feet MSL. Ceilings are in feet above airport elevation. Distances are in nautical miles unless otherwise indicated, except visibilities which are in statute miles.

If an instrument approach procedure of the above type is conducted at the below named airport, it shall be in accordance with the following instrument approach procedure, unless an approach is conducted in accordance with a different procedure for such airport authorized by the Administrator of the Federal Aviation Agency. Initial approaches shall be made over specified routes. Minimum altitudes shall correspond with those established for en route operation in the particular area or as set forth below.

Transition				Ceiling and visibility minimums			
From—	To—	Course and distance	Minimum altitude (feet)	Condition	2-engine or less		More than 2-engine, more than 65 knots
					65 knots or less	More than 65 knots	
				T-dn-----	300-1	300-1	300-1
				C-dn-----	700-1	700-1	700-1½
				A-dn-----	800-2	800-2	800-2

Radar transitions authorized in accordance with approved radar patterns of Idlewild ASR.

Procedure turn N side of final approach crs, 053° Outbnd, 233° Inbnd, 1500' within 10 miles.

Minimum altitude over facility on final approach crs, 1000'.

Crs and distance, facility to airport, 233°—6.6 miles.

If visual contact not established upon descent to authorized landing minimums or if landing not accomplished within 6.6 miles after passing Deer Park VOR, climb on crs 233° to 1500' within 10 miles, make left turn, proceed direct to Deer Park VOR, hold NE one-minute right turns, inbnd crs 233°.

City, Amityville; State, N.Y.; Airport Name, Zahns; Elev., 54'; Fac. Class., BVOR; Ident., DPK; Procedure No. 1, Amdt. Orig.; Eff. Date, 26 Jan. 63

PROCEDURE CANCELLED, EFFECTIVE JAN 26, 1963.

City, Fresno; State, Calif.; Airport Name, Fresno Air Terminal; Elev., 331'; Fac. Class., BVORTAC; Ident., FAT; Procedure No. 1, Amdt. 2; Eff. Date, 7 Jul. 62; Sup. Amdt. No. 1; Dated, 21 Apr. 62

				T-dn-----	300-1	300-1	200-1½
				C-dn-----	500-1	500-1	500-1½
				A-dn-----	800-2	800-2	800-2

Procedure turn N side of crs, 306° Outbnd, 126° Inbnd, 2700' within 10 miles.

Minimum altitude over facility on final approach crs, 2200'.

Crs and distance, facility to airport, 126°—4.0 miles.

If visual contact not established upon descent to authorized landing minimums or if landing not accomplished within 4 miles after passing HRO VOR, turn left, climb to 2700' returning to HRO VOR.

City, Harrison; State, Ark.; Airport Name, Harrison Municipal; Elev., 1375'; Fac. Class., VOR; Ident., HRO; Procedure No. 1, Amdt. Orig.; Eff. Date, 26 Jan. 63.

SLR VOR-----	PRX VOR-----	Direct-----	2100	T-dn-----	300-1	300-1	NA
				C-dn-----	400-1	500-1	NA
				S-dn-35-----	400-1	400-1	NA
				A-dn*-----	NA	NA	NA

Procedure turn E side of crs, 169° Outbnd, 349° Inbnd, 2100' within 10 miles.

Minimum altitude over facility on final approach crs, 2100'.

Crs and distance, facility to airport, 349°—5.3 miles.

If visual contact not established upon descent to authorized landing minimums or if landing not accomplished within 5.3 miles after passing PRX VOR, turn right, climb to 2100' and return to PRX VOR on R-012.

Notes: No public weather service available. Pilots using this approach shall, as soon as practicable, advise ATC when contact or executing a missed approach.

*Alternate use for those air carriers having approved weather service at the airport.

City, Paris; State, Tex.; Airport Name, Cox Field; Elev., 547'; Fac. Class., BVOR; Ident., PRX; Procedure No. 1, Amdt. Orig.; Eff. Date, 26 Jan. 63, or upon completion of facility.

				T-dn-----	300-1	300-1	
				C-dn-----	600-1	600-1	
				A-dn-----	NA	NA	

Procedure turn W side of crs, 326° Outbnd, 146° Inbnd, 1600' within 10 miles.

Minimum altitude over facility on final approach crs, 700'.

Crs and distance, facility to airport, 146°—2.6 miles.

If visual contact not established upon descent to authorized landing minimums or if landing not accomplished within 2.6 miles after passing PCU-VOR, climb to 1600', turn right and return direct to PCU-VOR. Hold NW on R-326, 146° Inbnd, 1-minute right turns.

CAUTION: Restricted area R-4403 6.0 miles SE of airport.

Notes: 1. No weather service available at this airport. 2. Flight plan must be closed with MSY FSS when visual contact established.

City, Picayune; State, Miss.; Airport Name, Municipal; Elev., 92'; Fac. Class., BVOR; Ident., PCU; Procedure No. 1, Amdt. 1; Eff. Date, 26 Jan. 63; Sup. Amdt. No Orig.; Dated, 22 Dec. 62

RK LFR-----	RKS-VOR-----	Direct-----	9200	T-dn-----	300-1	300-1	200-1½
				C-dn*-----	700-1	700-1	700-1½
				A-dn-----	1200-2	1200-2	1200-2

Procedure turn N side of crs, 081° Outbnd, 261° Inbnd, 9200' within 10 miles.

Minimum altitude over Salt Int# on final approach crs, 8600'; VOR, over 8000'.

Crs and distance, Salt Int# to airport, 261°—4.0 miles; VOR to airport, 267°—1.9 miles.

If visual contact not established upon descent to authorized landing minimums or if landing not accomplished within 1.9 miles after passing RKS-VOR, climb to 10,000' on R-261 within 20 miles.

*If Salt Int not received, minimums of 1200-1 apply.

#Salt Int: Int RKS-VOR R-081 and 340° brng to RKS Outer Compass Locator.

City, Rock Springs; State, Wyo.; Airport Name, Municipal; Elev., 6752'; Fac. Class., BVORTAC; Ident., RKS; Procedure No. 1, Amdt. 5; Eff. Date, 26 Jan. 63; Sup. Amdt. No. 4; Dated, 22 Dec. 62

4. The terminal very high frequency omnirange (TerVOR) procedures prescribed in § 609.200 are amended to read in part:

TERMINAL VOR STANDARD INSTRUMENT APPROACH PROCEDURE

Bearings, headings, courses and radials are magnetic. Elevations and altitudes are in feet MSL. Ceilings are in feet above airport elevation. Distances are in nautical miles unless otherwise indicated, except visibilities which are in statute miles.

If an instrument approach procedure of the above type is conducted at the below named airport, it shall be in accordance with the following instrument approach procedure, unless an approach is conducted in accordance with a different procedure for such airport authorized by the Administrator of the Federal Aviation Agency. Initial approaches shall be made over specified routes. Minimum altitudes shall correspond with those established for en route operation in the particular area or as set forth below.

Transition				Ceiling and visibility minimums			
From—	To—	Course and distance	Minimum altitude (feet)	Condition	2-engine or less		More than 2-engine, more than 65 knots
					65 knots or less	More than 65 knots	
Wolbach VOR-----	GRI VOR-----	Direct-----	3400	T-dn----- C-dn----- A-dn-----	300-1 500-1 800-2	300-1 500-1 800-2	200-1½ 500-1½ 800-2

Procedure turn W side of crs, 349° Outbnd, 169° Inbnd, 3400' within 10 miles.

Minimum altitude over facility on final approach crs, 2300'.

Crs and distance, VOR to approach end of runway, 169°—0.4 mile.

If visual contact not established upon descent to authorized landing minimums or if landing not accomplished within 0.0 miles, make left climbing turn to 3400' and return to GRI VOR.

Other changes: Deletes transition from Grand Island LFR. Deletes C-dn and S-dn minima for 65 K or Less if equipped to receive VOR and LFR.

City, Grand Island; State, Nebr.; Airport Name, Grand Island Municipal; Elev., 1846'; Fac. Class., BVOR; Ident., GRI; Procedure No. TerVOR-17, Amdt. 6; Eff. Date, 26 Jan. 63; Sup. Amdt. No. 5; Dated, 21 Oct. 61

				T-d-----	300-1	300-1	300-1
				T-n*-----	600-2	900-2	600-2
				C-d-----	700-1½	700-1½	700-1½
				C-n*-----	1000-2	1000-2	1000-2
				S-d-5-----	700-1	700-1	700-1
				S-n*-5-----	700-2	700-2	700-2
				A-dn-----	1500-2	1500-2	1500-2
				If HOT RBn is received, minima become			
				C-d-----	600-1½	600-1½	600-1½
				S-d-5-----	400-1	400-1	400-1
				S-n*-5-----	600-2	600-2	600-2

Procedure turn S side of crs, 240° Outbnd, 060° Inbnd, 2400' within 10 miles.

Facility on airport.

Minimum altitude over facility on final approach crs, 1200'.

If visual contact not established upon descent to authorized landing minimums or if landing not accomplished within 0.0 miles of VOR or 3 miles after passing HOT RBn, make an immediate right turn, climb to 2500' on HOT-VOR R-240 within 20 miles.

CAUTION: Hills 1470' MSL 1.3 miles N of airport. Maneuvering not authorized in N quadrant designated by extension of runway centerlines.

NOTE: No reduction in takeoff or landing minimums authorized.

*Night operations require rotating beacon, and all runway and obstruction lights to be operating.

**Descent to 900' authorized if HOT RBn is received.

City, Hot Springs; State, Ark.; Airport Name, Memorial Field; Elev., 535'; Fac. Class., VOR; Ident., Hot; Procedure No. TerVOR-5, Amdt. 3; Eff. Date, 26 Jan 63; Sup. Amdt. No. 2; Dated, 19 May 62

5. The very high frequency omnirange-distance measuring equipment (VOR/DME) procedures prescribed in § 609.300 are amended to read in part:

VOR-DME STANDARD INSTRUMENT APPROACH PROCEDURE

Bearings, headings, courses and radials are magnetic. Elevations and altitudes are in feet MSL. Ceilings are in feet above airport elevation. Distances are in nautical miles unless otherwise indicated, except visibilities which are in statute miles.

If an instrument approach procedure of the above type is conducted at the below named airport, it shall be in accordance with the following instrument approach procedure, unless an approach is conducted in accordance with a different procedure for such airport authorized by the Administrator of the Federal Aviation Agency. Initial approaches shall be made over specified routes. Minimum altitudes shall correspond with those established for en route operation in the particular area or as set forth below.

Transition				Ceiling and visibility minimums			
From—	To—	Course and distance	Minimum altitude (feet)	Condition	2-engine or less		More than 2-engine, more than 65 knots
					65 knots or less	More than 65 knots	

PROCEDURE CANCELLED, EFFECTIVE JAN. 26, 1963.

City, Fresno; State, Calif.; Airport Name, Fresno Air Terminal; Elev., 331'; Fac. Class., BVORTAC; Ident., FAT; Procedure No. VOR/DME No. 1, Amdt. Orig.; Eff. Date, 9 June 62

PROCEDURE CANCELLED, EFFECTIVE JAN. 26, 1963.

City, Fresno; State, Calif.; Airport Name, Fresno Air Terminal; Elev., 331'; Fac. Class., BVORTAC; Ident., FAT; Procedure No. VOR/DME No. 2, Amdt. Orig.; Eff. Date, 9 June 62

6. The instrument landing system procedures prescribed in § 609.400 are amended to read in part:

ILS STANDARD INSTRUMENT APPROACH PROCEDURE

Bearings, headings, courses and radials are magnetic. Elevations and altitudes are in feet MSL. Ceilings are in feet above airport elevation. Distances are in nautical miles unless otherwise indicated, except visibilities which are in statute miles.

If an instrument approach procedure of the above type is conducted at the below named airport, it shall be in accordance with the following instrument approach procedure, unless an approach is conducted in accordance with a different procedure for such airport authorized by the Administrator of the Federal Aviation Agency. Initial approaches shall be made over specified routes. Minimum altitudes shall correspond with those established for en route operation in the particular area or as set forth below.

Transition				Ceiling and visibility minimums			
From—	To—	Course and distance	Minimum altitude (feet)	Condition	2-engine or less		More than 2-engine, more than 65 knots
					65 knots or less	More than 65 knots	
Atlanta RBn.....	LOM.....	Direct.....	2500	T-dn**.....	300-1	300-1	200-½
Atlanta VOR.....	LOM.....	Direct.....	2500	C-dn.....	400-1	500-1	500-1½
Harrison Int.....	LOM.....	Direct.....	3000	S-dn-9#.....	200-½	200-½	200-½
Chattahoochee Int.....	LOM (Final).....	Direct.....	2400	A-dn.....	600-2	600-2	600-2
Raymond Int.....	LOM.....	Direct.....	2500				

Radar vectoring authorized in accordance with approved patterns.

Procedure turn S side W crs, 268° Outbnd, 088° Inbnd, 2500' within 10 miles.

Minimum altitude at glide slope Int inbnd, 2400'.

Altitude of glide slope and distance to approach end of runway at OM, 2324'—4.1 miles; at MM, 1236'—0.5 mile.

If visual contact not established upon descent to authorized landing minimums or if landing not accomplished, climb to 2500' on E crs ILS within 20 miles.

CAUTION: 1182' tower ¾ miles W of airport.

#Runway visual range 2600' authorized for landing on Runway 9; provided, that all components of the ILS, high intensity runway lights, approach lights, condenser discharge flashers, middle and outer compass locators and all related airborne equipment are in satisfactory operating condition. Descent below 1224' MSL shall not be made unless visual contact with the approach lights has been established or the aircraft is clear of clouds.

**Runway visual range 2600' authorized for takeoff on Runway 9 in lieu of 200-½ when 200-½ authorized; providing high-intensity runway lights are operational.

*400-¾ required when glide slope not utilized.

City, Atlanta; State, Ga.; Airport Name, Atlanta; Elev., 1024'; Fac. Class., ILS; Ident., I-ATL; Procedure No. ILS-9, Amdt. 23; Eff. Date, 26 Jan. 63; Sup. Amdt. No. 22; Dated, 29 Sept. 62

				T-dn.....	300-1	300-1	200-½
				C-dn.....	600-1	600-1	600-1½
				S-dn-11.....	300-¾	300-¾	300-¾
				A-dn.....	600-2	600-2	600-2

Procedure turn N side of crs, 292° Outbnd, 112° Inbnd, 1600' within 10 miles.

Minimum altitude at glide slope Int inbnd, 1600'.

Altitude of glide slope and distance to approach end of runway at OM, 1455'—3.9 miles; at MM, 365'—0.6 mile.

If visual contact not established upon descent to authorized landing minimums or if landing not accomplished make left climbing turn to 2000', return to LOM. Hold W 112° Inbnd, 1-minute right turns.

CAUTION: 570' MSL tower 3 miles NE of airport.

*500-¾ required with glide slope inoperative.

City, Bedford; State, Mass.; Airport Name, Hanscom Field; Elev., 133'; Fac. Class., ILS; Ident., I-BED; Procedure No. ILS-11, Amdt. 2; Eff. Date, 26 Jan. 63; Sup. Amdt. No. 1; Dated, 22 Sept. 62

OXR-VOR.....	ILS W crs.....	057°—18.....	5000	T-dn#.....	300-1	300-1	300-1
Saugus Int.....	LOM.....	Direct.....	5600	C-d*.....	900-1½	900-1½	900-1½
Malibu Int.....	LOM.....	Direct.....	5000	C-n*.....	900-2	900-2	900-2
Pt. Dume Int.....	LOM.....	Direct.....	5000	S-dn-7**.....	300-¾	300-¾	300-¾
Newhall LFR.....	LOM.....	Direct.....	5300	A-dn.....	900-2	900-2	900-2
Fillmore VOR.....	Woodland Int%.....	Direct.....	5000				
Int LAX-VOR R-277 & Lake Hughes	Woodland Int%.....	Direct.....	5000				
VOR R-189.....							
Twin Lakes Int.....	Woodland Int%.....	Direct.....	5000				
Woodland Int%.....	LOM (Final).....	Direct.....	2800				

Radar transitions and vectoring using Burbank Radar authorized in accordance with approved radar patterns.

Procedure turn S side of crs, 256° Outbnd, 076° Inbnd, 4000' within 10 miles of LOM. Beyond 10 miles NA.

Minimum altitude at glide slope interception inbnd, 2800'.

Altitude of glide slope and distance to approach end of runway at OM, 2738'—6.0 miles; at MM, 1355'—1.7 miles; at LIM, 924'—0.4 mile.

If visual contact not established upon descent to authorized landing minimums or if landing not accomplished within 0.0 miles of BUR ILS LMM, make immediate right climbing turn to 4000' on W crs BUR ILS within 10 miles west of LOM or, when directed by ATC, (1) climb direct to Glendale RBn, climb via 098° brng from Glendale RBn to 3000', turn right, climb to recross Glendale RBn not below 4500', then proceed direct to BUR ILS LOM or, (2) climb direct to Glendale RBn, then climb via 098° brng of Glendale RBn to 4000' within 12 miles.

CAUTION: High terrain NE and E of airport.

AIR CARRIER NOTES: Sliding scale prohibited below ¾ mi for takeoff on Runways 7, 15, 33, and for straight-in landing minima. Sliding scale NA for circling minima.

NOTES: Nonstandard installation. Localizer antenna at approach end of runway.

#200-½ authorized for takeoff on Runway 25 only.

**Maneuvering NE and E of airport NA.

For minimums of 300-¾, all components of ILS must be utilized. If glide slope not received, then minimums of 400-1 apply.

%Woodland Int: Int BUR ILS W crs and Fillmore VOR R-111.

City, Burbank; State, Calif.; Airport Name, Lockheed Air Terminal; Elev., 775'; Fac. Class., ILS; Ident., I-BUR; Procedure No. ILS-7, Amdt. 15; Eff. Date, 26 Jan. 63; Sup. Amdt. No. 14; Dated, 3 Feb. 62

RULES AND REGULATIONS

7. The radar procedures prescribed in § 609.500 are amended to read in part:

RADAR STANDARD INSTRUMENT APPROACH PROCEDURE

Bearings, headings, courses and radials are magnetic. Elevations and altitudes are in feet, MSL. Ceilings are in feet above airport elevation. Distances are in nautical miles unless otherwise indicated, except visibilities which are in statute miles.

If a radar instrument approach is conducted at the below named airport, it shall be in accordance with the following instrument procedure, unless an approach is conducted in accordance with a different procedure for such airport authorized by the Administrator of the Federal Aviation Agency. Initial approaches shall be made over specified routes. Minimum altitude(s) shall correspond with those established for en route operation in the particular area or as set forth below. Positive identification must be established with the radar controller. From initial contact with radar to final authorized landing minimums, the instructions of the radar controller are mandatory except when (A) visual contact is established on final approach at or before descent to the authorized landing minimums, or (B) at pilot's discretion if it appears desirable to discontinue the approach, except when the radar controller may direct otherwise prior to final approach, a missed approach shall be executed as provided below when (A) communication on final approach is lost for more than 5 seconds during a precision approach, or for more than 30 seconds during a surveillance approach; (B) directed by radar controller; (C) visual contact is not established upon descent to authorized landing minimums; or (D) if landing is not accomplished.

Transition				Ceiling and visibility minimums			
From—	To—	Course and distance	Minimum altitude (feet)	Condition	2-engine or less		More than 2-engine, more than 65 knots
					65 knots or less	More than 65 knots	
000°-----	360°-----	20 miles-----	2000	Surveillance approach			
				T-dn**-----	300-1	300-1	200-½
				C-dn-----	400-1	500-1	500-1½
				S-dn-13, 31, #	400-1	400-1	400-1
				18, 36##			
				A-dn-----	800-2	800-2	800-2
				Precision approach			
				T-dn**-----	300-1	300-1	200-½
				S-dn-13###	200-½	200-½	200-½
				A-dn-----	600-2	600-2	600-2

If visual contact not established upon descent to authorized landing minimums or if landing not accomplished—Runways 18, 36, 13, and 31: Climb to 2000' on runway heading within 10 miles or, when directed by ATC, Runway 18, 13: turn left, climb to 2000' and proceed to DAL-VOR; Runway 36, 31: Turn right, climb to 2000' and proceed to DAL-VOR.

CAUTION: 695' tank 1.7 miles SE of Runway 31. 1044' building 3.9 miles SE of Runway 31 on centerline.

*Radar control will provide 1000' vertical clearance within a 3-mile radius from radio TV towers 1108' 20 miles north, 2349' 16 miles SSW, 1230' 10 miles NNW of airport.

#Maintain at least 1400' until 3.5 miles from end of runway and 1000' until 1.4 miles from end of Runways 31 and 18.

##Maintain at least 1200' until 4 miles from end of Runway 36.

###Runway Visual Range 2600' also authorized for landing on Runway 13; provided that all components of the PAR, high intensity runway lights, approach lights, condenser-discharge flashers, middle and outer compass locators and all related airborne equipment are in satisfactory operating condition. Descent below 685' msl shall not be made unless visual contact with approach lights has been established or the aircraft is clear of clouds.

**Runway Visual Range 2600' also authorized for takeoff on Runway 13 in lieu of 200-½ when 200-½ is authorized; providing high intensity runway lights are operational, City, Dallas; State, Tex.; Airport Name, Love Field; Elev., 485'; Fac. Class. and Ident., Dallas Radar; Procedure No. 1, Amdt. 7; Eff. Date, 26 Jan. 63; Sup. Amdt. No. 6; Dated, 28 Apr. 62

Radar terminal area maneuvering sectors and altitudes														Ceiling and visibility minimums			
From	To	Dist.	Alt.	Dist.	Alt.	Dist.	Alt.	Dist.	Alt.	Dist.	Alt.	Dist.	Alt.	Condition	2-engine or less		More than 2-engine, more than 65 knots
															65 knots or less	More than 65 knots	
Precision approach																	
360	040	10	2000	20	2200									T-dn**-----	300-1	300-1	200-1½
040	065	10	2000	16	2200	20	2700							S-dn-5R***-----	200-1½	200-1½	200-1½
065	095	10	2000	20	2200									S-dn-5L-----	400-1	400-1	400-1
095	122	10	2000	12	2200	20	2300							C-dn-----	500-1	500-1	500-1½
122	230	10	2000	12	2200	20	1900							A-dn-----	600-2	600-2	600-2
*230	295	10	2000	20	2200												
*295	360	10	2000	16	2200	20	2600										
Surveillance approach																	
														T-dn-----	300-1	300-1	200-1½
														C-dn-9L-----	600-1	600-1	600-1½
														S-dn-9L#-----	600-1	600-1	600-1
														C-dn-14, 36, 5L-R-----	500-1	500-1	500-1½
														5L-R-----			
														S-dn-14, 36, 5L-R-----	500-1	500-1	500-1
														23 L-R, 18, 27 L-R, and 32:-----			
														C-dn-----	500-1	500-1	500-1½
														S-dn-----	400-1	400-1	400-1
														A-dn-----	800-2	800-2	800-2

Radar terminal area transition altitudes—all bearings are from the radar site with sector azimuths progressing clockwise.

If visual contact not established upon descent to authorized landing minimums or if landing not accomplished—Runways 23L, 23R, 27R, 27L, 14 and 18, climb to 2300' and proceed to YIP LOM. Runways 5L, 5R, 9L, 36 and 32, climb to 2500', proceed to SVM VOR on 170R or when directed by ATC, (1) climb to 2300', proceed via W crs DTW LFR to the DTW LFR.

Aircraft executing missed approach may after being reidentified, be radar controlled.

*Surveillance approaches straight-in to Runway 9R NA due to antenna location and ground clutter.

*Radar transitions in vicinity of 1240' tower and 1137' tower 10 miles west will be conducted at 2300' within 3 miles and 1800' within 3.5-mile (inclusive) radius.

**Runway visual range 2600' authorized for takeoff in lieu of 200½ when 200½ authorized providing high intensity runway lights are in satisfactory operating condition.

***Runway visual range 2600' authorized for landing on Runway 5R; provided that all components of the PAR, high-intensity runway lights, approach lights, condenser-discharge flashers, middle and outer compass locators and all related airborne equipment are operating satisfactorily. Descent below authorized landing minimum altitude of 916' MSL shall not be made unless visual contact with the approach lights has been established or the aircraft is clear of clouds.

City, Detroit; State, Mich.; Airport Name, Willow Run; Elev., 716'; Fac. Class. and Ident., Willow Run Radar; Procedure No. 1, Amdt. 7; Eff. Date, 26 Jan. 63; Sup. Amdt. No. 6; Dated, 27 Jan. 62

These procedures shall become effective on the dates specified therein.

(Secs. 313(a), 307(c), 72 Stat. 752, 749; 49 U.S.C. 1354(a), 1348(c))

Issued in Washington, D.C., on December 21, 1962.

G. S. MOORE,
Acting Director, Flight Standards Service.

Title 16—COMMERCIAL PRACTICES

Chapter I—Federal Trade Commission [Docket C-281]

PART 13—PROHIBITED TRADE PRACTICES

Howard B. Gillmore

Subpart—Using, selling, or supplying lottery devices: § 13.2480 *In merchandising*.

(Sec. 6, 38 Stat. 721; 15 U.S.C. 46. Interprets or applies sec. 5, 38 Stat. 719, as amended; 15 U.S.C. 45) [Cease and desist order, Howard B. Gillmore, Blossburg, Pa., Docket C-281, Dec. 18, 1962]

In the Matter of Howard B. Gillmore, an Individual

Consent order requiring a Blossburg, Pa., distributor to cease furnishing to sellers of his wallets a plan of merchandising which involved the operation of a game of chance when the merchandise was sold to consumers.

The order to cease and desist, including further order requiring report of compliance therewith, is as follows:

It is ordered, That respondent Howard B. Gillmore, an individual, his representatives, agents and employees, directly or through any corporate or other device, in connection with the offering for sale, sale or distribution of wallets or other article of merchandise in commerce, as "commerce" is defined in the Federal Trade Commission Act, do forthwith cease and desist from:

1. Supplying to or placing in the hands of others, merchandising cards or any other device or devices which are designed or intended to be used in the sale or distribution of merchandise to the public by means of a game of chance, gift enterprise or lottery scheme.

2. Selling or otherwise disposing of any merchandise by means of a game of chance, gift enterprise or lottery scheme.

It is further ordered, That the respondent herein shall, within sixty (60) days after service upon him of this order, file with the Commission a report in writing setting forth in detail the manner and form in which he has complied with this order.

Issued: December 18, 1962.

By the Commission.

[SEAL]

JOSEPH W. SHEA,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 63-471; Filed, Jan. 16, 1963; 8:45 a.m.]

[Docket C-282]

PART 13—PROHIBITED TRADE PRACTICES

Esther Wolf, Inc., et al.

Subpart—Advertising falsely or misleadingly: § 13.155 *Prices*: § 13.155-40 *Exaggerated as regular and customary*.
Subpart—Invoicing products falsely:

§ 13.1108 *Invoicing products falsely*: § 13.1108-45 *Fur Products Labeling Act*. Subpart—Misbranding or mislabeling: § 13.1212 *Formal regulatory and statutory requirements*: § 13.1212-30 *Fur Products Labeling Act*; § 13.1280 *Price*. Subpart—Misrepresenting oneself and goods—prices: § 13.1805 *Exaggerated as regular and customary*. Subpart—Neglecting, unfairly or deceptively, to make material disclosure: § 13.1845 *Composition*: § 13.1845-30 *Fur Products Labeling Act*; § 13.1852 *Formal regulatory and statutory requirements*: § 13.1852-35 *Fur Products Labeling Act*; § 13.1865 *Manufacture or preparation*: § 13.1865-40 *Fur Products Labeling Act*; § 13.1900 *Source or origin*: § 13.1900-40 *Fur Products Labeling Act*: § 13.1900-40(b) *Place*.

(Sec. 6, 38 Stat. 721; 15 U.S.C. 46. Interpret or apply sec. 5, 38 Stat. 719, as amended; sec. 8, 65 Stat. 179; 15 U.S.C. 45, 69f) [Cease and desist order, Esther Wolf, Inc., et al., Houston, Tex., Docket C-282, Dec. 18, 1962]

In the Matter of Esther Wolf, Inc., a Corporation and E. Joseph Wolf and Leonard Halpern, Individually and as Officers of Said Corporation

Consent order requiring a furrier in Houston, Tex., to cease violating the Fur Products Labeling Act by representing in labeling and advertising that fictitious prices were reductions from regular retail prices; failing to show on labels and invoices and in advertising the true animal name of furs and on invoices and in advertising the country of origin of imported furs; failing in advertising to disclose that products contained artificially colored furs, and to use the term "natural" where required; failing to comply in other respects with labeling and invoicing requirements; and failing to maintain adequate records as a basis for price and value claims.

The order to cease and desist, including further order requiring report of compliance therewith, is as follows:

It is ordered, That respondents Esther Wolf, Inc., a corporation and its officers, and E. Joseph Wolf and Leonard Halpern, individually and as officers of said corporation and respondents' representatives, agents and employees, directly or through any corporate or other device in connection with the introduction into commerce, or the sale, advertising, or offering for sale in commerce or the transportation or distribution in commerce of any fur product, or in connection with the sale, advertising, offering for sale, transportation or distribution of any fur product which is made in whole or in part of fur which has been shipped and received in commerce, as "commerce", "fur" and "fur product" are defined in the Fur Products Labeling Act, do forthwith cease and desist from:

1. Misbranding fur products by:

A. Failing to affix labels to fur products showing in words and figures plainly legible all the information required to be disclosed by each of the subsections of section 4(2) of the Fur Products Labeling Act.

B. Representing on labels or other means of identification, directly or by

implication, that any price, when accompanied or unaccompanied by any descriptive language was the price at which the merchandise so labeled or otherwise identified was usually and customarily sold at retail by the respondents unless such said merchandise was in fact usually and customarily sold at retail at such price by the respondents in the recent past.

C. Setting forth on labels affixed to fur products:

(1) Information required under section 4(2) of the Fur Products Labeling Act and the rules and regulations promulgated thereunder in abbreviated form;

(2) Information required under section 4(2) of the Fur Products Labeling Act and the rules and regulations promulgated thereunder in handwriting.

D. Failing to set forth the information required under section 4(2) of the Fur Products Labeling Act and the rules and regulations promulgated thereunder in the sequence required by Rule 30 of the aforesaid rules and regulations.

2. Falsely or deceptively invoicing fur products by:

A. Failing to furnish invoices to purchasers of fur products showing in words and figures plainly legible all the information required to be disclosed by each of the subsections of section 5(b) (1) of the Fur Products Labeling Act.

B. Setting forth information required under section 5(b) (1) of the Fur Products Labeling Act and the rules and regulations promulgated thereunder in abbreviated form.

3. Falsely or deceptively advertising fur products through the use of any advertisement, representation, public announcement, or notice which is intended to aid, promote or assist, directly or indirectly, in the sale or offering for sale of fur products, and which:

A. Fails to set forth all the information required to be disclosed by each of the subsections of section 5(a) of the Fur Products Labeling Act.

B. Represents directly or by implication that any price, when accompanied or unaccompanied by any descriptive language, was the price at which the merchandise advertised was usually and customarily sold at retail by the respondents unless such advertised merchandise was in fact usually and customarily sold at retail at such price by the respondents in the recent past.

C. Fails to use the term "natural" to describe fur products which are not pointed, bleached, dyed, tip-dyed, or otherwise artificially colored.

4. Making claims and representations of the types covered by subsections (a), (b), (c), and (d) of Rule 44 of the rules and regulations promulgated under the Fur Products Labeling Act unless there are maintained by respondents full and adequate records disclosing the facts upon which such claims and representations are based.

It is further ordered, That the respondents herein shall, within sixty (60) days after service upon them of this order, file with the Commission a report in writing setting forth in detail the man-

ner and form in which they have complied with this order.

Issued: December 18, 1962.

By the Commission.

[SEAL]

JOSEPH W. SHEA,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 63-470; Filed, Jan. 16, 1963;
8:45 a.m.]

[File No. 811]

PART 14—ADMINISTRATIVE INTERPRETATIONS

Revision of Guides for Advertising Fallout Shelters

The Federal Trade Commission has approved two revisions in its 1961 Guides for Advertising Fallout Shelters appearing on pages 11826, 11827 of the issue for Saturday, December 9, 1961. The changes and the reasons therefor are:

(a) In subparagraph (a) (2) of § 14.5 the figure 30 has been changed to the figure 25 in order to conform with Technical Memorandum 61-1 entitled "Minimum Technical Requirements for Family Shelters," revised and published under date of August 1962, by the Office of Civil Defense, Department of Defense; and

(b) The words, "or other schemes of chance," have been deleted from paragraph (c) of § 14.5 in order that the paragraph (c) may be consistent with present Commission trade practice rules concerning the use of lotteries in connection with sales promotional plans.

Subparagraph (a) (2) and paragraph (c) of § 14.5 are revised to read as follows:

§ 14.5 Guides for advertising fallout shelters.

(a) *Definitions.* * * *

(2) *Blast-resistant or limited blast-resistant shelter.* (i) A structure which qualifies as a fallout shelter and, in addition, is capable of affording a certain minimum amount of protection against the effects of a blast overpressure and associated nuclear and thermal radiation. To qualify as a "blast-resistant shelter" a structure must be capable of withstanding an overpressure of at least 25 pounds per square inch (psi). To qualify as a "limited blast-resistant shelter" a structure must be capable of withstanding an overpressure of at least 5 psi.

* * * * *

(c) *Lottery schemes.* Sales promotional plans involving lottery shall not be used.

(Secs. 5, 6, 38 Stat. 719, as amended, 721; 15 U.S.C. 45, 46)

Approved: December 20, 1962.

By direction of the Commission.

[SEAL]

JOSEPH W. SHEA,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 63-487; Filed, Jan. 16, 1963;
8:48 a.m.]

Title 33—NAVIGATION AND NAVIGABLE WATERS

Chapter II—Corps of Engineers, Department of the Army

PART 203—BRIDGE REGULATIONS

Miami River, Fla.

Pursuant to the provisions of section 5 of the River and Harbor Act of August 18, 1894 (28 Stat. 362; 33 U.S.C. 499), § 203.449 is hereby prescribed to govern the operation of the Seaboard Air Line Railroad Company Bridge across Miami River, Miami, Florida, effective 30 days after publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER, as follows:

§ 203.449 Miami River, Fla.; Seaboard Air Line Railroad Company Bridge, Miami.

The owner of or agency controlling the bridge will be required to provide bridge tender service only between the hours of 8:30 a.m. and 5:30 p.m., Monday through Friday. At all other times the draw will be opened for the passage of vessels upon three hours' advance notice.

[Regs., December 26, 1962, 285/111 (Miami River, Fla.)—ENGW-ON] (Sec. 5, 28 Stat. 362; 33 U.S.C. 499)

J. C. LAMBERT,
Major General, U.S. Army,
The Adjutant General.

[F.R. Doc. 63-460; Filed, Jan. 16, 1963;
8:45 a.m.]

Title 21—FOOD AND DRUGS

Chapter I—Food and Drug Administration, Department of Health, Education, and Welfare

PART 8—COLOR ADDITIVES

Provisional Lists

Correction

In F.R. Doc. 63-243, appearing at page 317 of the issue for Friday, January 11, 1963, the following corrections are made in § 8.501:

1. The second occurrence of paragraph (c) should be deleted.

2. Paragraph (e) should read as follows:

(e) Color additives provisionally listed for food use on the basis of prior commercial sale but which have not been subject to certification.

	Closing date	Restrictions
Alkanet (alkanna).....	Jan. 1, 1964.....	
Annatto.....	do.....	
Beet juice.....	do.....	
Beet powder.....	do.....	
Bixin and norbixin.....	do.....	
Calcium carbonate.....	do.....	
Caramel.....	do.....	
Carbon black (prepared by the "impingement" or "channel" process).....	do.....	
Carmin.....	do.....	
Carminic acid.....	do.....	
Carotene, natural and synthetic.....	do.....	
Carrot oil.....	do.....	
Charcoal (National Formulary XI).....	do.....	
Chlorophyll.....	do.....	
Chlorophyll copper complex and chlorophyllin copper complex.....	do.....	
Cochineal.....	do.....	
Cudbear (<i>Rocella</i> spp., <i>Lecanora</i> spp.).....	do.....	
Ferric chloride.....	do.....	In processing of black olives.
Ferrous gluconate.....	do.....	Do.
Ferrous sulfate.....	do.....	Do.
Grape skin extract.....	do.....	
Iron oxides.....	do.....	
Logwood, chips and extracts (<i>Haematoxylon campechianum</i> L.).....	do.....	
Paprika and paprika oleoresin.....	do.....	
Riboflavin.....	do.....	
Safflower (American saffron, florets of <i>Carthamus tinctorius</i> L.).....	do.....	Limit of 0.4 percent.
Titanium dioxide.....	do.....	
Turmeric and curcumin.....	do.....	0.5-percent in salt for animal feed.
Ultramarine blue.....	do.....	
Xanthophyll.....	do.....	

Title 29—LABOR

Chapter V—Wage and Hour Division, Department of Labor

SUBCHAPTER A—REGULATIONS

PART 523—EMPLOYMENT OF MESSENGERS

Delegation of Authority

Pursuant to authority in section 14 of the Fair Labor Standards Act of 1938 (sec. 14, 52 Stat. 1068, as amended; 29 U.S.C. 214), Reorganization Plan No. 6 of 1950 (3 CFR 1949-1953 Comp., p. 1004), and General Order No. 45-A of

the Secretary of Labor (15 F.R. 3290), I hereby revoke 29 CFR 523.11.

As this amendment concerns a rule of agency procedure, notice of proposed rule making, opportunity for public participation, and delay in effective date are not required by section 4 of the Administrative Procedure Act (5 U.S.C. 1004). It shall become effective immediately.

Signed at Washington, D.C., this 11th day of January 1963.

CLARENCE T. LUNDQUIST,
Administrator.

[F.R. Doc. 63-481; Filed, Jan. 16, 1963;
8:48 a.m.]

Title 32—NATIONAL DEFENSE

Chapter XIV—The Renegotiation Board

SUBCHAPTER B—RENEGOTIATION BOARD REGULATIONS UNDER THE 1951 ACT

PART 1472—CONDUCT OF RENEGOTIATION

Filing of Information and Requests by Contractor

Section 1472.6(d) *Place for filing* is amended by changing the address of the Western Regional Renegotiation Board in subparagraph (1) to read as follows:

Western Regional Renegotiation Board,
320 N. Vermont Avenue, Los Angeles 4,
California.

(Sec. 109, 65 Stat. 22; U.S.C. App. Sup. 1219)

Dated: January 14, 1963.

LAWRENCE E. HARTWIG,
Chairman.

[F.R. Doc. 63-498; Filed, Jan. 16, 1963;
8:50 a.m.]

Title 38—PENSIONS, BONUSES, AND VETERANS' RELIEF

Chapter I—Veterans Administration

PART 2—DELEGATIONS OF AUTHORITY

Chief Medical Director

Part 2, Chapter I of Title 38 of the Code of Federal Regulations is amended by adding new §§ 2.72 and 2.73 as follows:

§ 2.72 Chief Medical Director authorized to exercise powers and functions of Administrator with respect to maintenance and operation of Veterans Canteen Service.

This delegation of authority is identical to § 17.161(a) of this chapter.

§ 2.73 Chief Medical Director authorized to designate Director for Administrative Services to administer overall operation of Veterans Canteen Service and to designate selected employees of Veterans Canteen Service to perform functions described in 38 U.S.C. 75, to effectively maintain and operate Veterans Canteen Service.

This delegation of authority is identical to § 17.161(b) of this chapter.

[SEAL]

W. J. DRIVER,
Deputy Administrator.

[F.R. Doc. 63-495; Filed, Jan. 16, 1963;
8:49 a.m.]

PART 17—MEDICAL

Miscellaneous Amendments

1. In § 17.161, paragraph (b) is amended to read as follows:

§ 17.161 Delegation of authority.

In connection with the Veterans Canteen Service, the Chief Medical Director is hereby delegated authority as follows:

(b) To designate the Director for Administrative Services to administer the overall operation of the Veterans Canteen Service and to designate selected employees of the Veterans Canteen Service to perform the functions described in the enabling statute, 38 U.S.C. Ch. 75, so as to effectively maintain and operate the Veterans Canteen Service.

2. In § 17.165, paragraph (e) is amended to read as follows:

§ 17.165 Reduction in the payment of Federal aid to States because of deductions made by State homes from pension and compensation of members and deductions from other sources of income.

(c) The Veterans Administration is required to deduct from Federal aid otherwise due a State home the amount of a deceased member's estate collected by the State home, except in those cases where the State home admits and maintains wives and widows of veterans. No deductions shall be made from the Federal aid otherwise due a State on account of the amounts collected by a State home from the estates of deceased veterans if such amounts are placed in a post fund or other special fund and used for the benefit of the State home or its inhabitants in providing (1) educational, recreational, or entertainment facilities, or activities; (2) operation of post exchanges; or (3) other activities or facilities for the benefit of the home or its inhabitants which are not specifically required by State law (including the cost of any necessary insurance to protect the property of such fund or any of its facilities). The activities and facilities set forth in subparagraphs (1) and (2) are subject to the limitation in subparagraph (3) that such activities and facilities are not specifically required by State law.

(72 Stat. 1114; 38 U.S.C. 210)

These regulations are effective January 17, 1963.

[SEAL]

W. J. DRIVER,
Deputy Administrator.

[F.R. Doc. 63-494; Filed, Jan. 16, 1963;
8:49 a.m.]

Title 49—TRANSPORTATION

Chapter I—Interstate Commerce Commission

SUBCHAPTER B—CARRIERS BY MOTOR VEHICLES

PART 205—REPORTS OF MOTOR CARRIERS

Annual Reports of Class I Carriers of Property

At a session of the Interstate Commerce Commission, Division 2, held at its office in Washington, D.C., on the 18th day of December A.D. 1962:

The matter of annual reports of Class I motor carriers of property being under further consideration, and the changes to be made by this order being minor changes in the data to be fur-

nished, rule-making procedures under section 4 of the Administrative Procedure Act, 5 U.S.C. 1003, being deemed unnecessary:

It is ordered, That § 205.1 of the order of January 17, 1962, in the matter of Motor Carrier Annual Report Form A (Class I Carriers of Property), be, and it is hereby, modified and amended with respect to annual reports for the year ended December 31, 1962, and subsequent years, to read as shown below.¹

It is further ordered, That 49 CFR 205.1 be, and is hereby, modified and amended to read as follows:

§ 205.1 Annual reports of Class I carriers of property.

Commencing with reports for the year ended December 31, 1962, and thereafter, until further order, all Class I motor carriers of property, as described in § 182.01-1 of this chapter, viz., carriers with average annual gross operating revenues (including interstate and intrastate) of \$1,000,000 or more, from property motor carrier operations, are required to file annual reports in accordance with Motor Carrier Annual Report Form A (Property), which is attached to and made a part of this section.¹ Such annual report shall be filed in duplicate in the Bureau of Transport Economics and Statistics, Interstate Commerce Commission, Washington 25, D.C., on or before March 31 of the year following the year to which it relates.

(Sec. 204, 49 Stat. 546, as amended; 49 U.S.C. 304. Interpret or apply sec. 220, 49 Stat. 563, as amended; 49 U.S.C. 320)

It is further ordered, That copies of this order and of Motor Carrier Annual Report Form A (Property) shall be served on all Class I motor carriers of property subject to its provisions, and upon every trustee, receiver, executor, administrator, or assignee of any such motor carrier, and that notice of this order shall be given to the general public by depositing a copy in the office of the Secretary of the Commission in Washington, D.C., and by filing it with the Director, Office of the Federal Register.

By the Commission, Division 2.

[SEAL]

HAROLD D. MCCOY,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 63-488; Filed, Jan. 16, 1963;
8:48 a.m.]

Title 50—WILDLIFE AND FISHERIES

Chapter I—Bureau of Sport Fisheries and Wildlife, Fish and Wildlife Service, Department of the Interior

PART 33—SPORT FISHING

Ruby Lake National Wildlife Refuge, Nevada

The following special regulation is issued and is effective on date of publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

¹ Form filed as part of original document.

RULES AND REGULATIONS

§ 33.5 Special regulations; sport fishing; for individual wildlife refuge areas.

NEVADA

RUBY LAKE NATIONAL WILDLIFE REFUGE

Sport fishing on the Ruby Lake National Wildlife Refuge, Nevada, is permitted only on the areas designated by signs as open to fishing. This open area, comprising 500 acres or 1 percent of the total area of the refuge, is delineated on a map available at the refuge headquarters and from the office of the Regional Director, Bureau of Sport Fisheries and Wildlife, 1002 Northeast Holladay Street, Portland 8, Oregon. Sport fishing is subject to the following conditions:

(a) Species permitted to be taken: Bass, trout.

(b) Open season: Elko County—January 20, 1963, through September 29, 1963, except that Dike Units 13, 14, and 20, as posted, will be closed from April 1 through May 31, 1963.

(c) Daily creel limits: Bass—20 fish. Trout—15 fish or 10 pounds, whichever is reached first, or 5 trout may be taken regardless of weight.

(d) Methods of fishing:

1. Tackle: One rod and line, closely attended, may be used to which will be attached not more than three baited hooks, nor more than three fly hooks, or not more than two plugs or similar lures. No more than two such plugs or lures, irrespective of the number of hooks or attractor blades attached thereto, shall be attached to the line. Only one such combination of hook, line and rod shall be used by one person at any time.

2. Bait: Angling with fish (dead, live or parts thereof) is prohibited except that salmon eggs may be used.

3. Boats: No boats will be permitted in the area north of the south dike. Air thrust boats with motors of more than 5 horsepower prohibited. Boats with motors may be used for fishing south of the south dike from June 15, 1963 to the end of fishing season.

(e) Other provisions:

1. The provisions of this special regulation supplement the regulations which govern fishing on wildlife refuge areas generally which are set forth in Title 50, Code of Federal Regulations, Part 33.

2. A Federal permit is not required to enter the public fishing area. Fishermen will report at such checking stations as may be designated when entering or leaving the area.

3. The provisions of this special regulation are effective to January 1, 1964.

RICHARD E. GRIFFITH,
*Acting Regional Director, Bureau
of Sport Fisheries and Wildlife.*

JANUARY 10, 1963.

[F.R. Doc. 63-472; Filed, Jan. 16, 1963;
8:47 a.m.]

Proposed Rule Making

FEDERAL AVIATION AGENCY

[14 CFR Part 71 [New]]

[Airspace Docket No. 62-CE-62]

FEDERAL AIRWAY

Proposed Alteration

Pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator (14 CFR 11.65), notice is hereby given that the Federal Aviation Agency is considering an amendment to Part 71 [New] of the Federal Aviation Regulations, the substance of which is stated below.

Low altitude VOR Federal airway No. 7 is designated in part from Milwaukee, Wis., to Green Bay, Wis. The Federal Aviation Agency is considering the extension of Victor 7 from the Green Bay VORTAC via a VOR to be installed approximately February 15, 1963, near Menominee, Mich., at latitude 45°10'47" N., longitude 87°38'36" W., to the state owned VOR at Escanaba, Mich., at latitude 45°43'30" N., longitude 87°05'15" W. This action would designate controlled airspace between Green Bay and Escanaba in which to provide air traffic service to scheduled air carrier aircraft operating between these locations.

The proposed airway would extend upward from 1,200 feet above the surface. At such time as Amendments 60-21/60-29 to Part 60 of the Civil Air Regulations are applied to the entire Green Bay area, further upward adjustment to the airway floor consistent with en route requirements and these amendments will be considered.

Interested persons may submit such written data, views or arguments as they may desire. Communications should be submitted in triplicate to the Assistant Administrator, Central Region, Attn: Chief, Air Traffic Division, Federal Aviation Agency, 4825 Troost Avenue, Kansas City 10, Mo. All communications received within forty-five days after publication of this notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER will be considered before action is taken on the proposed amendment. No public hearing is contemplated at this time, but arrangements for informal conferences with Federal Aviation Agency officials may be made by contacting the Regional Air Traffic Division Chief, or the Chief, Airspace Utilization Division, Federal Aviation Agency, Washington 25, D.C. Any data, views or arguments presented during such conferences must also be submitted in writing in accordance with this notice in order to become part of the record for consideration. The proposal contained in this notice may be changed in the light of comments received.

The official Docket will be available for examination by interested persons at the Docket Section, Federal Aviation Agency, Room A-103, 1711 New York Avenue NW., Washington 25, D.C. An informal Docket will also be available

for examination at the office of the Regional Air Traffic Division Chief.

This amendment is proposed under section 307(a) of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (72 Stat. 749; 49 U.S.C. 1348).

Issued in Washington, D.C., on January 10, 1963.

CLIFFORD P. BURTON,
Chief, Airspace Utilization Division.

[F.R. Doc. 63-461; Filed, Jan. 16, 1963;
8:45 a.m.]

[14 CFR Part 71 [New]]

[Airspace Docket No. 62-AL-11]

FEDERAL AIRWAY ASSOCIATED CONTROL AREAS AND REPORTING POINT

Proposed Designation

Pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator (14 CFR 11.65 [New]), notice is hereby given that the Federal Aviation Agency is considering amendments to Part 71 [New] of the Federal Aviation Regulations, the substance of which is stated below.

The FAA is considering the designation of a VOR Federal airway from the Fairbanks, Alaska, ILS middle marker via the Eielson AFB, Alaska, VOR to the Big Delta, Alaska, RR including the airspace within 16 miles of the centerline at and above 24,000 feet MSL. Below 24,000 feet MSL, the airway would be expanded in width to 11 miles from a point 45 nautical miles southeast of the Eielson VOR to the Big Delta radio range. The airspace within R-2202, R-2205, R-2207, and R-2208 would be used only after obtaining prior approval from appropriate authority. Improved navigational guidance would be provided VOR equipped civil and military flights. Additional controlled airspace would be provided the segment more than 45 nautical miles from Eielson VOR and that airspace above 24,000 feet to enable pilots operating along this airway to remain within controlled airspace. For air traffic control purposes on this proposed airway, the Eielson VOR would be designated as a reporting point.

The proposed airway and its control areas would extend upwards from 700 feet above the surface. Separate actions would be initiated to implement on an area basis Amendment 60-21 to Part 60 of the Civil Air Regulations.

Interested persons may submit such written data, views or arguments as they may desire. Communications should be submitted in triplicate to the Assistant Administrator, Alaskan Region, Attn: Chief, Air Traffic Division, Federal Aviation Agency, P.O. Box 440, Anchorage, Alaska. All communications received within forty-five days after publication of this notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER will be considered before action is taken on the proposed amendment. No public

hearing is contemplated at this time, but arrangements for informal conferences with Federal Aviation Agency officials may be made by contacting the Regional Air Traffic Division Chief, or the Chief, Airspace Utilization Division, Federal Aviation Agency, Washington 25, D.C. Any data, views or arguments presented during such conferences must also be submitted in writing in accordance with this notice in order to become part of the record for consideration. The proposal contained in this notice may be changed in the light of comments received.

The official Docket will be available for examination by interested persons at the Docket Section, Federal Aviation Agency, Room A-103, 1711 New York Avenue NW., Washington 25, D.C. An informal Docket will also be available for examination at the office of the Regional Air Traffic Division Chief.

This amendment is proposed under section 307(a) of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (72 Stat. 749; 49 U.S.C. 1348).

Issued in Washington, D.C., on January 10, 1963.

CLIFFORD P. BURTON,
Chief, Airspace Utilization Division.

[F.R. Doc. 63-462; Filed, Jan. 16, 1963;
8:45 a.m.]

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[17 CFR Part 200]

[Release 33-4571]

UNAUTHORIZED EX PARTE COMMUNICATIONS BETWEEN PERSONS OUTSIDE THE COMMISSION AND DECISIONAL PERSONNEL

Notice of Proposed Policy Statement

Notice is hereby given that the Securities and Exchange Commission has under consideration the adoption of a statement of policy which would prohibit certain classes of written and oral ex parte communications between particular persons outside the Commission and Commission personnel acting in a decisional capacity in on-the-record proceedings. The effect of the adoption of the proposed statement of policy would be to enlarge the scope of and strengthen the provisions of the Canons of Ethics, 17 CFR 200.62, now applicable with respect to certain ex parte communications made to members of the Commission.

The proposed statement of policy conforms to Recommendation No. 16 of the Administrative Conference of the United States. In its June 29, 1962 session the Conference determined it "essential that the administrative process * * * be protected from improper influences" and urged that each agency "promulgate a

code of behavior governing ex parte contacts between persons outside and persons inside the agency," setting forth the provisions that should be contained therein.

It should be noted that neither the Conference recommendation nor the proposed statement of policy attempt to deal with the subject of intra-Commission communications. At the Conference it was noted that this problem is an even broader one than the subject of ex parte communications between persons outside and persons inside the agency, and that it should, of necessity, be considered separately.

The proposed statement of policy would prohibit participants and their agents from making certain communications, directly or indirectly, about the proceeding to any Commission member, hearing officer assigned to the case, member of the staff of the Office of Opinion Writing, legal or executive assistant to a Commission member, or to any other Commission employee participating in the decision. It would also prohibit any of the foregoing Commission personnel from requesting, entertaining or making any unauthorized ex parte communication to participants and their agents. The prohibition would extend, as well, to communications from persons who intercede in a proceeding by volunteering communications which they may be reasonably expected to know might advance or adversely affect the interest of a participant. The proposed statement of policy would also prohibit the solicitation by participants or their agents of other persons to make unauthorized communications.

Unauthorized ex parte communications would include oral and written statements made without the knowledge of all parties to the proceeding. However, they would not include "status" requests, nor would they include communications of general significance when the person making them could not be expected to know that they might bear upon a current proceeding, communications made pursuant to law, or communications made with the consent of all participants to the proceeding. It should be noted, further, that the proposed statement of policy would not prohibit a Commission member or decisional employee from seeking the views of some disinterested expert; but in such event, the views would be made available to the participants when required by considerations of fairness.

Persons who make unauthorized ex parte communications could be censured or prohibited from practicing before the Commission in accordance with the provisions of Rule 2(e) (§ 201.2(e) of this chapter) of the rules of practice. If a party to the proceeding, he might also be denied the relief he is seeking if such sanction would be permitted by law.

Under the proposed statement of policy any Commission official who receives an unauthorized ex parte communication or any other communication that he believes in fairness should be brought to the attention of the other parties to a proceeding would be required to transmit it to the Secretary of the Commission

(first, reducing it to writing, if oral), who would place it in the public file and send copies to all of the participants. There is an alternative procedure that could be invoked where the communication is not from a participant to the proceeding, whereby the Secretary would merely notify the other participants that the communication had been received and placed in the public file; but this alternative would be available only if the communication were of borderline relevance to the proceeding, or where sending copies to the parties would be burdensome either because of the voluminous number of communications received, or because of the great number of parties to the proceeding. The proposed statement of policy provides that when communications are made public, all participants would be permitted to request an opportunity to rebut the facts or arguments contained in them. The Commission would grant such request whenever it determined that fairness so required.

Violation of the proposed statement of policy by any Commission employee would be ground for censure, suspension or dismissal.

I. The text of the proposed new Subpart F of 17 CFR Part 200 would read as follows:

Subpart F—Statement of Policy Regarding Unauthorized Ex Parte Communications Between Persons Outside the Commission and Decisional Personnel

§ 200.110 Purpose.

This statement of policy is adopted in conformity with a recommendation of the Administrative Conference of the United States designed to insulate the administrative process from improper influence.

§ 200.111 Prohibitions.

(a) Except as set forth in § 200.112(c), no person who is not an employee of the Commission should make any ex parte communication directly or indirectly about an on-the-record proceeding to any Commission member or Commission employee participating in the decision, or solicit any other person to make an ex parte communication which the solicitor has reason to know is unauthorized; nor should any Commission member or Commission employee participating in the decision in a proceeding request or entertain any unauthorized ex parte communication, or make any unauthorized ex parte communication about the proceeding to any participant to the proceeding, any agent of any participant, or to any other person who he has reason to know may transmit the communication to a participant or participant's agent.

(b) These prohibitions are applicable to every proceeding required to be determined solely on a record and to any other proceeding the Commission may direct in a particular case. They shall be applicable from the time the Commission issues the order for proceeding; *Provided*, That under Rule 23 pursuant to the Public Utility Holding Company Act of 1935

(§ 250.23 of this chapter) and Rule O-5 pursuant to the Investment Company Act of 1940 (§ 270.0-5 of this chapter), they shall be applicable from the time notice of the filing of the application or other document is issued; *And provided further*, That under Rule 261 pursuant to Regulation A of the Securities Act of 1933 (§ 230.261 of this chapter), they shall be applicable from the time the Commission enters an order temporarily suspending the exemption; or in any case from such earlier time as the Commission may direct. They shall continue until the time to appeal from the Commission's determination has expired, or if an appeal is taken, until the matter has been finally determined.

§ 200.112 Terminology.

(a) The "Commission employee participating in the decision" shall include:

(1) The hearing officer assigned to the case;

(2) All members of the staff of the Office of Opinion Writing;

(3) All legal and executive assistants to members of the Commission; and

(4) Any other employee of the Commission who has been specifically designated by the Commission to assist in making or recommending a decision in the proceeding.

(b) Ex parte communications shall include:

(1) Any written communication of any kind about the proceeding unless copies thereof are served by the communicator, in accordance with the requirements of Rule 23 of the rules of practice, 17 CFR 201.23, upon all the participants to the proceeding (including the interested division of the Commission) contemporaneously with the transmittal of the communication.

(2) Any oral communication of any kind about the proceeding unless:

(i) 48 hours advance written notice that it will be made is given by the communicator to all the participants to the proceeding (including the interested division of the Commission); or

(ii) Its contents are disclosed by the communicator to all the participants to the proceeding (including the interested division of the Commission) at the time of its presentation; or

(iii) The substance of the contents of the oral communication is reduced to writing and personal or telegraphic service of copies thereof is made by the communicator upon all the participants to the proceeding (including the interested division of the Commission) within 24 hours following the presentation of the oral communication.

(c) Notwithstanding the foregoing the following classes of ex parte communications shall be authorized:

(1) Any oral or written communication which relates solely to matters which the Commission member or Commission employee is authorized by law to dispose of on an ex parte basis;

(2) Any oral or written request for information solely with respect to the status of a proceeding;

(3) Any oral or written communication which all the participants to the proceeding agree, or which the Commis-

sion or hearing officer formally rules, may be made on an ex parte basis; and

(4) Any oral or written communication of facts or contentions which have general significance for an industry subject to regulation and the communicator cannot reasonably be expected to know that the facts or contentions are material to a substantive or procedural issue in a pending proceeding in which he is interested.

(d) The prohibitions herein shall be applicable only with respect to:

(1) A participant (including a party) or person seeking admission as a participant in a proceeding or any person who might be adversely affected by a determination in the proceeding;

(2) A person who intercedes in a proceeding by volunteering a communication which he may reasonably be expected to know might advance or adversely affect the interest of a particular participant in the proceeding, whether or not he acts with the knowledge or consent of any participant or participant's agent; and

(3) The agent of any of the foregoing.

§ 200.113 Duties of recipient and sanctions.

(a) Any Commission member or Commission employee participating in the decision, who receives a written communication which he knows is unauthorized, or which he concludes, in fairness, should be brought to the attention of all participants to the proceeding, should transmit the communication promptly to the Secretary of the Commission, together with a written statement of the circumstances under which it was made, if they are not apparent from the communication itself. The Secretary should promptly place the communication and the statement in the public file of the Commission, should send copies of the communication to all participants to the proceeding with respect to which it was made, and should notify the communicator of this statement of policy prohibiting ex parte communications. If the communications are from persons other than participants to the proceeding or their agents, and the recipient determines that it would be too burdensome to send copies of the communications to all participants because: (1) The communications are so voluminous, or (2) they are of such borderline relevance to the issue in the proceeding, or (3) the participants to the proceeding

are so numerous, the Secretary may, instead, notify the participants that the communications have been received and placed in the public file where they are available for their examination.

(b) Any Commission member or Commission employee participating in the decision who receives an oral communication which he knows, at the time it is received, is unauthorized, or which he concludes in fairness should be brought to the attention of all participants to the proceeding should put the substance of the communication in writing and transmit the writing promptly to the Secretary of the Commission, together with a written statement of the circumstances under which it was made. The Secretary should promptly place the writing and the statement in the public file of the Commission, should send copies of the writing to all participants to the proceeding with respect to which it was made, and should notify the communicator of this statement of policy prohibiting unauthorized ex parte communications. If the communications are from persons other than participants to the proceeding or their agents, and the recipient determines that it would be too burdensome to send copies of the writings containing the substance of the communications to all participants because: (1) The communications are so voluminous, or (2) they are of such borderline relevance to the issues in the proceeding, or (3) the participants to the proceeding are so numerous, the Secretary may, instead, notify them that the communications have been received and writings containing their substance placed in the public file where they are available for their examination.

(c) All participants to a proceeding may request an opportunity to rebut on the record any facts or contentions contained in an unauthorized ex parte communication or in any other ex parte communication brought to the attention of the participants in accordance with paragraphs (a) and (b) of this section. The Commission will grant such a request whenever it determines that the dictates of fairness so require. If the record has not yet been certified to the Commission this determination shall be made in the first instance by the hearing officer.

(d) The Commission may, to the extent not prohibited by law, censure, suspend, or revoke the privilege to practice before it of any person who makes, or

solicits the making of, an unauthorized ex parte communication. Such a person shall be deemed to have engaged in unethical conduct within the meaning of Rule 2(e) of the rules of practice, 17 CFR 201.2(e).

(e) The relief or benefit sought by a participant to a proceeding may, in the Commission's discretion, be denied if the participant, or an agent of the participant makes, or solicits the making of, an unauthorized ex parte communication.

(f) The Commission may censure, suspend, or dismiss any Commission employee who violates the prohibitions or requirements of this statement of policy.

(g) Nothing in this statement of policy shall preclude a Commission member or employee participating in the decision from instructing his assistants not participating in the decision to intercept and return to the sender any communication which would violate this statement of policy.

II. Should the proposed statement of policy be approved for adoption, the Commission, contemporaneously therewith, would amend its Canons of Ethics, 17 CFR 200.62, to read as follows:

§ 200.62 Ex parte communications.

All proceedings required to be determined by the Commission on the record shall be determined by the members solely upon the record and the arguments of the parties or their counsel properly made in the regular course of such proceeding. A member shall at all times comply with the Commission's Statement of Policy re ex parte communications between persons outside the Commission and decisional personnel, 17 CFR 200.110 et seq.

All interested persons are invited to file, in triplicate, views and comments with respect to the proposed statement of policy. To be considered prior to the effectiveness of the statement of policy, they should be submitted to the Securities and Exchange Commission, Washington 25, D.C., on or before January 31, 1963. All such communications will be available for public inspection.

By the Commission.

[SEAL]

ORVAL L. DuBois,
Secretary.

JANUARY 4, 1963.

[F.R. Doc. 63-482; Filed, Jan. 16, 1963; 8:48 a.m.]

Notices

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Bureau of Land Management ALASKA

Notice of Termination of Proposed Withdrawal and Reservation of Lands

JANUARY 8, 1963.

Notice of an application, Serial No. A-046341, for withdrawal and reservation of lands was published as Federal Register Document No. 59-1266 on page 1110 of the issue for February 12, 1959. The applicant agency has canceled its application insofar as it involved the lands described below. Therefore, pursuant to the regulations contained in 43 CFR, Part 295, such lands will be at 10 a.m. on February 8, 1963, relieved of the segregative effect of the above-mentioned application.

The lands involved in this notice of termination are:

BELUGA AREA

TRINITY LAKES

An unsurveyed parcel of land comprising the westerly tip of a peninsula extending from the east side of the southernmost of the Trinity Lakes more particularly described as follows:

Beginning at a point on the west shore of the southernmost of the Trinity Lakes at approximate latitude $61^{\circ}35'18''$ N., longitude $151^{\circ}26'00''$ W. (designated approximately by a Public Lands of the United States sign attached to a conspicuous birch tree); thence southeast approximately 330 feet across the peninsula to a point on the shore; thence southwesterly approximately 660 feet along the shoreline; thence northeasterly approximately 660 feet along the shoreline to the point of beginning.

Containing approximately 5 acres.

JUDD LAKE

An unsurveyed parcel of land situated on the southeastern end of Judd Lake abutting the lake shore and the east bank of the outlet more particularly described as follows:

Beginning at a point on the shore of Judd Lake on the east bank of the outlet situated at approximate latitude $61^{\circ}33'50''$ N., longitude $151^{\circ}32'30''$ W., thence southwesterly 660 feet along the east bank of the outlet stream. Thence northeasterly 330 feet on a straight line approximately parallel to the principal bearing of the lake shore; thence northwesterly 660 feet on a straight line approximately parallel to the principal bearing of the outlet stream to a point on the shore of Judd Lake; thence southwesterly along the lake shore to the point of beginning.

Containing 5 acres, more or less.

COAL CREEK LAKE

An unsurveyed parcel of land situated on the southeastern end of Coal Creek Lake abutting the shore of the lake and encompassing the outlet stream more particularly described as follows:

Beginning at a point on the shore of Coal Creek Lake on the west bank of the outlet situated at approximate latitude $61^{\circ}29'15''$ N., longitude $151^{\circ}32'31''$ W., thence westerly along the lake shore 165 feet; thence south-

easterly 330 feet; at approximate right angles to the principal bearing of the lake shore; thence northeasterly 330 feet approximately parallel to said bearing; thence northwesterly approximately 330 feet to the lake shore; thence southwesterly along the lake shore approximately 165 feet to the west bank of the outlet and the point of beginning.

Containing approximately 2.50 acres.

KITTY LAKE

An unsurveyed parcel of land situated on the south central end of Kitty Lake and comprising an elevated ridge that separates Kitty Lake from two small lakes lying to the immediate south, more particularly described as follows:

Beginning at a point on the shore of Kitty Lake at approximate latitude $61^{\circ}31'57''$ N., longitude $151^{\circ}29'00''$ W. (designated approximately by a Public Lands of the United States sign attached to a conspicuous birch tree); thence south 330 feet; thence easterly 660 feet parallel to the principal bearing of the lake shore; thence north 330 feet to a point on the shore of Kitty Lake; thence westerly approximately 660 feet along said shore to the point of beginning.

Containing 5 acres, more or less.

ROBERT J. COFFMAN,
Chief, Division of Lands
and Minerals Management.

[F.R. Doc. 63-478; Filed, Jan. 16, 1963;
8:48 a.m.]

CALIFORNIA

Notice of Proposed Withdrawal and Reservation of Lands

JANUARY 9, 1963.

The Forest Service, United States Department of Agriculture, has filed an application, Serial Number Riverside 02351, for the withdrawal of certain lands from location and entry under the General Mining Laws, subject, however, to existing withdrawals and to valid existing rights.

The lands have previously been withdrawn for the San Bernardino National Forest Reserve by Presidential Proclamation, February 25, 1893, and as such have been open to entry under the general mining laws.

The applicant desires the exclusion of mining activity to permit the use of such lands for the Sterling Tract Recreation area, which use is incompatible with mineral development.

For a period of 30 days from the date of publication of this notice, all persons who wish to submit comments, suggestions, or objections in connection with the proposed withdrawal may present their views in writing to the undersigned officer of the Bureau of Land Management, Department of the Interior, 1414 8th Street, Box 723, Riverside, Calif.

If circumstances warrant it, a public hearing will be held at a convenient time and place, which will be announced.

The determination of the Secretary on the application will be published in the FEDERAL REGISTER. A separate notice will

be sent to each interested party of record.

The lands involved in the application are:

SAN BERNARDINO MERIDIAN

T. 1 N., R. 3 W.,

Sec. 19, lots 5 and 6, and $E\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$.

The areas described aggregate 158.73 acres.

JENS C. JENSEN,
Manager,
Land Office, Riverside.

[F.R. Doc. 63-479; Filed, Jan. 16, 1963;
8:48 a.m.]

NEVADA

Notice of Proposed Withdrawal and Reservation of Lands

JANUARY 11, 1963.

The Atomic Energy Commission has filed an application, Serial Number Nevada 059792 for the withdrawal of the lands described below. The lands are all within the Desert Game Range and are effectively withdrawn from all forms of appropriation under the public land laws. This application would withdraw the lands from entry under the mining and mineral leasing laws, the disposals of materials under the Act of July 31, 1947 (61 Stat. 681; 30 U.S.C. 601-604), as amended, and the Taylor Grazing Act.

The applicant desires the land be withdrawn in aid of legislation contemplating establishment of a community to provide housing and other facilities for the personnel at the Nevada Test Site.

For a period of 30 days from the date of publication of this notice, all persons who wish to submit comments, suggestions, or objections in connection with the proposed withdrawal may present their views in writing to the undersigned officer of the Bureau of Land Management, Department of the Interior, P.O. Box 1551, Reno, Nevada.

If circumstances warrant it, a public hearing will be held at a convenient time and place, which will be announced.

The determination of the Secretary on the application will be published in the FEDERAL REGISTER. A separate notice will be sent to each interested party of record.

The lands involved in the application are:

MOUNT DIABLO MERIDIAN, NEVADA

T. 16 S., R. 54 E.,

Secs. 1 and 2;

Sec. 3, $E\frac{1}{2}$;

Sec. 10, $E\frac{1}{2}$;

Secs. 11 and 12.

T. 16 S., R. 55 E.,

Secs. 4-9, incl.

The area as described contains approximately 7,052.85 acres.

H. CURT HAMMIT,
Land Office Manager,
Reno, Nevada.

[F.R. Doc. 63-480; Filed, Jan. 16, 1963;
8:48 a.m.]

ALASKA

Small Tract Classification Order No. 73; Amendment No. 1: Partial Cancellation

JANUARY 11, 1963.

1. Pursuant to the authority redelegated to me from Bureau Order No. 684, dated August 28, 1961 (26 F.R. 6215) as amended, by the Alaska State Director in section 2(c) of a memorandum dated December 1, 1961, effective at 10 a.m., January 15, 1963, Federal Register Document No. 53-4760, dated May 5, 1953, is hereby cancelled insofar as it pertains to the following described lands:

HOMER AREA

T. 6 S., R. 13 W., S.M.,
Sec. 14: NW $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$, SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 15: NE $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$, N $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$, S $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$.
Containing approximately 280 acres.

KENAI AREA

T. 6 N., R. 11 W., S.M.,
Sec. 31: Lots 1 and 2, E $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$.
Containing approximately 154 acres.

2. The subject lands were classified for disposal under the Small Tract Act but are no longer planned for disposition under that Act.

ROBERT J. COFFMAN,
Chief, Division of
Lands and Minerals Management.

[F.R. Doc. 63-508; Filed, Jan. 16, 1963;
8:50 a.m.]

CALIFORNIA

Notice of Amendment and Partial Termination of Proposed Withdrawal and Reservation of Lands; Correction

JANUARY 8, 1963.

In F.R. Doc. 62-12843 appearing on page 12982 of the issue for Saturday, December 29, 1962, the land description for "Sacramento 047049 Mount Diablo Meridian, Recreation Areas, Beardsley Reservoir" is corrected by changing sec. 14 of T. 4 N., R. 17 E., to read "sec 14, W $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, S $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, NW $\frac{1}{4}$, NE $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$, N $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$."

WALTER E. BECK,
Manager, Land Office,
Sacramento.

[F.R. Doc. 63-476; Filed, Jan. 16, 1963;
8:47 a.m.]

NEVADA

Notice of Filing of Nevada Protraction Diagrams

JANUARY 10, 1963.

Notice is hereby given that effective February 11, 1963, the following protraction diagrams, Numbers 71 through 93 approved October 1, 1962; Numbers 84 through 93 approved October 5, 1962; Numbers 94 through 100 approved October 12, 1962; Numbers 101 through 103 approved October 24, 1962; Numbers 104 through 105 approved October 16, 1962; Number 57 revised November 23, 1962; Number 85 revised November 20, 1962, are officially filed of record in the Nevada Land Office. In accordance with Title 43 Code of Fed-

eral Regulations, these protractions will become the basic record for describing the land for all authorized purposes at and after 10 a.m. of the above effective date. Until this date and time the diagrams have been placed in the open files and are available to the public for information only.

NEVADA PROTRACTION DIAGRAMS No. 57 AND Nos. 71 THROUGH 105

MOUNT DIABLO MERIDIAN

No. 57 (Revised)

T. 10 N., R. 68 E. T. 11 N., R. 69 E.
T. 11 N., R. 68 E. T. 12 N., R. 69 E.
T. 10 N., R. 69 E. T. 10 N., R. 70 E.

No. 71

T. 9 S., R. 56 E. T. 9 S., R. 57 E.
T. 10 S., R. 56 E. T. 10 S., R. 57 E.

No. 72

T. 11 S., R. 58 E. T. 11 S., R. 59 E.
T. 12 S., R. 58 E. T. 12 S., R. 59 E.

No. 73

T. 11 S., R. 56 E. T. 11 S., R. 57 E.
T. 12 S., R. 56 E. T. 12 S., R. 57 E.

No. 74

T. 9 S., R. 58 E. T. 9 S., R. 59 E.
T. 10 S., R. 58 E. T. 10 S., R. 59 E.

No. 75

T. 5 S., R. 51 E. T. 7 S., R. 51 E.
T. 6 S., R. 51 E. T. 8 S., R. 51 E.

No. 76

T. 5 S., R. 48 E. T. 6 S., R. 49 E.
T. 6 S., R. 48 E. T. 5 S., R. 50 E.
T. 5 S., R. 49 E. T. 6 S., R. 50 E.

No. 77

T. 7 S., R. 48 E. T. 8 S., R. 49 E.
T. 8 S., R. 48 E. T. 7 S., R. 50 E.
T. 7 S., R. 49 E. T. 8 S., R. 50 E.

No. 78

T. 5 S., R. 55 E. T. 5 S., R. 55 $\frac{1}{2}$ E.
T. 6 S., R. 55 E. T. 6 S., R. 55 $\frac{1}{2}$ E.
T. 7 S., R. 55 E. T. 7 S., R. 55 $\frac{1}{2}$ E.
T. 8 S., R. 55 E. T. 8 S., R. 55 $\frac{1}{2}$ E.

No. 79

T. 5 S., R. 52 E. T. 6 S., R. 53 E.
T. 6 S., R. 52 E. T. 5 S., R. 54 E.
T. 5 S., R. 53 E. T. 6 S., R. 54 E.

No. 80

T. 7 S., R. 52 E. T. 8 S., R. 53 E.
T. 8 S., R. 52 E. T. 7 S., R. 54 E.
T. 7 S., R. 53 E. T. 8 S., R. 54 E.

No. 81

T. 5 S., R. 59 E. T. 7 S., R. 59 E.
T. 6 S., R. 59 E. T. 8 S., R. 59 E.

No. 82

T. 7 S., R. 56 E. T. 8 S., R. 57 E.
T. 8 S., R. 56 E. T. 7 S., R. 58 E.
T. 7 S., R. 57 E. T. 8 S., R. 58 E.

No. 83

T. 5 S., R. 56 E. T. 6 S., R. 57 E.
T. 6 S., R. 56 E. T. 5 S., R. 58 E.
T. 5 S., R. 57 E. T. 6 S., R. 58 E.

No. 84

T. 5 S., R. 43 E. T. 5 S., R. 44 E.
T. 6 S., R. 43 E. T. 6 S., R. 44 E.

No. 85 (Revised)

T. 7 S., R. 43 E. T. 7 S., R. 44 E.
T. 8 S., R. 43 E. T. 8 S., R. 44 E.

No. 86

T. 5 S., R. 45 E. T. 6 S., R. 46 E.
T. 6 S., R. 45 E. T. 5 S., R. 47 E.
T. 5 S., R. 46 E. T. 6 S., R. 47 E.

No. 87

T. 7 S., R. 45 E. T. 8 S., R. 46 E.
T. 8 S., R. 45 E. T. 7 S., R. 47 E.
T. 7 S., R. 46 E. T. 8 S., R. 47 E.

No. 88

T. 9 S., R. 51 E. T. 11 S., R. 51 E.
T. 10 S., R. 51 E. T. 12 S., R. 51 E.

No. 89

T. 9 S., R. 48 E. T. 10 S., R. 49 E.
T. 10 S., R. 48 E. T. 9 S., R. 50 E.
T. 9 S., R. 49 E. T. 10 S., R. 50 E.

No. 90

T. 11 S., R. 48 E. T. 12 S., R. 49 E.
T. 12 S., R. 48 E. T. 11 S., R. 50 E.
T. 11 S., R. 49 E. T. 12 S., R. 50 E.

No. 91

T. 11 S., R. 42 E. T. 11 S., R. 44 E.
T. 11 S., R. 43 E. T. 12 S., R. 44 E.
T. 12 S., R. 43 E. T. 11 S., R. 45 E.

No. 92

T. 9 S., R. 43 E. T. 10 S., R. 44 E.
T. 10 S., R. 43 E. T. 9 S., R. 45 E.
T. 9 S., R. 44 E. T. 10 S., R. 45 E.

No. 93

T. 9 S., R. 46 E. T. 9 S., R. 47 E.
T. 10 S., R. 46 E. T. 10 S., R. 47 E.

No. 94

T. 16 N., R. 68 E. T. 16 N., R. 70 E.
T. 16 N., R. 69 E. T. 15 N., R. 71 E.
T. 15 N., R. 70 E.

No. 95

T. 17 N., R. 69 E. T. 17 N., R. 70 E.
T. 18 N., R. 69 E. T. 18 N., R. 70 E.

No. 96

T. 19 N., R. 69 E. T. 20 N., R. 70 E.
T. 19 N., R. 70 E. T. 20 $\frac{1}{2}$ N., R. 70 E.

No. 97

T. 9 S., R. 63 E. T. 9 S., R. 64 E.
T. 10 S., R. 63 E. T. 10 S., R. 64 E.

No. 98

T. 9 S., R. 65 E. T. 9 S., R. 66 E.
T. 10 S., R. 65 E. T. 10 S., R. 66 E.

No. 99

T. 11 S., R. 64 E. T. 11 S., R. 65 E.
T. 12 S., R. 64 E. T. 11 $\frac{1}{2}$ S., R. 65 E.

No. 100

T. 10 $\frac{1}{4}$ S., R. 66 E. T. 11 S., R. 67 E.
T. 11 S., R. 66 E. T. 12 S., R. 67 E.
T. 12 S., R. 66 E. T. 11 S., R. 68 E.
T. 10 $\frac{1}{2}$ S., R. 67 E. T. 12 S., R. 68 E.

No. 101

T. 26 S., R. 58 E.

No. 102

T. 27 S., R. 66 E.

No. 103

T. 30 S., R. 65 E. T. 30 S., R. 66 E.
T. 31 S., R. 65 E.

No. 104

T. 3 S., R. 46 E. T. 3 S., R. 47 E.
T. 4 S., R. 46 E. T. 4 S., R. 47 E.

No. 105

T. 3 S., R. 48 E. T. 3 S., R. 49 E.
T. 4 S., R. 48 E. T. 4 S., R. 49 E.

Copies of these diagrams are for sale at one dollar (\$1.00) each by the Nevada Land Office, Bureau of Land Management, Post Office Box No. 1551, Reno, Nev.

H. CURT HAMMIT,
Manager.

[F.R. Doc. 63-477; Filed, Jan. 16, 1963;
8:47 a.m.]

OREGON

Notice of Termination of Proposed Withdrawal and Reservation of Land

JANUARY 8, 1963.

Notice of an application Serial No. Oregon 04242 for withdrawal and reservation of lands was published as Federal Register Document No. 56-3344 on page 2850 of the issue for May 1, 1956. The applicant agency has cancelled its application insofar as it involved the lands described below. Therefore, pursuant to the regulations contained in 43 CFR, Part 295, such lands will be at 10:00 a.m. on February 25, 1963 relieved of the segregative effect of the above-mentioned application.

The lands involved in this notice of termination are:

WILLAMETTE MERIDIAN, OREGON
SIUSLAW NATIONAL FOREST

T. 17 S., R. 10 W.,
Sec. 35: $S\frac{1}{2}SE\frac{1}{4}SW\frac{1}{4}$.
T. 19 S., R. 10 W.,
Sec. 4: $W\frac{1}{2}NE\frac{1}{4}SW\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 9: $W\frac{1}{2}NW\frac{1}{4}SE\frac{1}{4}$.
60 acres.

STANLEY D. LESTER,
Land Office Manager.

[F.R. Doc. 63-473; Filed, Jan. 16, 1963;
8:47 a.m.]

OREGON

Notice of Termination of Proposed Withdrawal and Reservation of Land

JANUARY 8, 1963.

Notice of an application Serial No. Oregon 04382, for withdrawal and reservation of lands was published as Federal Register Document No. 55-10054 of the issue for December 15, 1955. The applicant agency has cancelled its application which involved the lands described below. Therefore, pursuant to the regulations contained in 43 CFR, Part 295, such lands will be at 10:00 a.m. on February 25, 1963 relieved of the segregative effect of the above-mentioned application.

The lands involved in this notice of termination are:

WILLAMETTE MERIDIAN, OREGON

T. 27 S., R. 12 W.,
Sec. 3: Lots 1, 2, 3 and 4, $S\frac{1}{2}N\frac{1}{2}$, $S\frac{1}{2}$.
642.60 acres.

STANLEY D. LESTER,
Land Office Manager.

[F.R. Doc. 63-474; Filed, Jan. 16, 1963;
8:47 a.m.]

OREGON

Notice of Termination of Proposed Withdrawal and Reservation of Land

JANUARY 8, 1963.

Notice of an application Serial No. Oregon 05181, for withdrawal and reservation of lands was published as Federal Register Document No. 56-8520 of the issue for October 24, 1956. The applicant agency has cancelled its appli-

cation insofar as it involved the lands described below. Therefore, pursuant to the regulations contained in 43 CFR, Part 295, such lands will be at 10:00 a.m. on February 25, 1963 relieved of the segregative effect of the above-mentioned application.

The lands involved in this notice of termination are:

WILLAMETTE MERIDIAN, OREGON

T. 35 S., R. 17 E.,
Sec. 4: Lots 2 and 3;
Sec. 5: Lot 1, $SE\frac{1}{4}NE\frac{1}{4}$, $E\frac{1}{2}SE\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 8: $E\frac{1}{2}E\frac{1}{2}$;
Sec. 9: $W\frac{1}{2}$;
Sec. 17: All;
Sec. 19: Lots 1, 2, 3, 4, $E\frac{1}{2}$, $E\frac{1}{2}W\frac{1}{2}$;
Sec. 20: All;
Sec. 21: All;
Sec. 29: $NE\frac{1}{4}$, $SE\frac{1}{4}NW\frac{1}{4}$, $NE\frac{1}{4}SW\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 34: $W\frac{1}{2}SE\frac{1}{4}$.

Total area aggregates 3,593.96 acres.

STANLEY D. LESTER,
Land Office Manager.

[F.R. Doc. 63-475; Filed, Jan. 16, 1963;
8:47 a.m.]

UTAH

Notice of Proposed Withdrawal and Reservation of Lands

JANUARY 10, 1963.

Pursuant to the authority of Executive Order 10355 of May 26, 1952 (17 F.R. 4831), the Department of Commerce, Bureau of Public Roads, has filed an application, Serial Number Utah 0103167, for the withdrawal of the lands described below, from location and entry under the public land laws, including the General Mining Laws, but not the Mineral Leasing Laws. Grazing administration will be continued by the Bureau of Land Management.

The applicant desires the land for a temporary reservation of Public Domain areas for highway right-of-way to permit sufficient time to establish the most advantageous alignment unencumbered by private ownership or conflicting Public Domain Applications.

For a period of 30 days from the date of publication of this notice, all persons who wish to submit comments, suggestions, or objections in connection with the proposed withdrawal may present their views in writing to the undersigned officer of the Bureau of Land Management, P.O. Box 777, Salt Lake City 10, Utah.

If circumstances warrant it, a public hearing will be held at a convenient time and place, which will be announced.

The determination of the Secretary on the application will be published in the FEDERAL REGISTER. A separate notice will be sent to each interested party of record.

The lands involved in the application are:

SALT LAKE MERIDIAN, UTAH

T. 1 S., R. 11 W.,
Sec. 17: All;
Sec. 18: All; except Lot 2 and the $NW\frac{1}{4}$, $SW\frac{1}{4}SE\frac{1}{4}$, $NE\frac{1}{4}SE\frac{1}{4}$, $SE\frac{1}{4}SW\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 19: $N\frac{1}{2}N\frac{1}{2}$.
T. 1 S., R. 12 W. (unsurveyed),
Secs. 13, 14, 15, 17, and 18: All;
Secs. 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, and 24: $N\frac{1}{2}N\frac{1}{2}$.

T. 1 S., R. 13 W. (unsurveyed),
Secs. 13, 14, 15, 17, and 18: All;
Secs. 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, and 24: $N\frac{1}{2}N\frac{1}{2}$.
T. 1 S., R. 14 W. (unsurveyed),
Secs. 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, and 12: $S\frac{1}{2}$, $S\frac{1}{2}N\frac{1}{2}$;
Secs. 13, 14, 15, 17, and 18: All.
T. 1 S., R. 15 W.,
Secs. 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, and 12: $S\frac{1}{2}$;
Secs. 13, 14, 15, and 17: All;
Secs. 18, 20, 21, 22, 23, and 24: $N\frac{1}{2}$.
T. 1 S., R. 16 W.,
Secs. 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, and 12: $S\frac{1}{2}$;
Sec. 13: All;
Secs. 14, 15, 17, and 18: $N\frac{1}{2}$;
Secs. 19, 20, and 24: $N\frac{1}{2}N\frac{1}{2}$.
T. 1 S., R. 17 W.,
Secs. 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, and 12: $S\frac{1}{2}$; except the $W\frac{1}{2}$ of Lot 3 and all of Lot 4 of Sec. 7;
Secs. 13, 14, 15, 17, and 18: All; except the $SE\frac{1}{4}SE\frac{1}{4}$ of Sec. 15, Lots 1, 2, 3, and 4 and the $E\frac{1}{2}SW\frac{1}{4}$ and the $W\frac{1}{2}E\frac{1}{2}$ $NW\frac{1}{4}$ of Sec. 18;
Secs. 22, 23, and 24: $N\frac{1}{2}N\frac{1}{2}$; except the $E\frac{1}{2}NW\frac{1}{4}NE\frac{1}{4}$ of Sec. 22.
T. 1 S., R. 18 W.,
Secs. 7 and 8: $S\frac{1}{2}$;
Sec. 9: $NW\frac{1}{4}SW\frac{1}{4}$, $W\frac{1}{2}SW\frac{1}{4}SW\frac{1}{4}$, $W\frac{1}{2}NE\frac{1}{4}SW\frac{1}{4}$.
T. 1 S., R. 19 W.,
Secs. 9, 10, 11, and 12: $S\frac{1}{2}$; except the $NE\frac{1}{4}SW\frac{1}{4}$ of Sec. 9;
Secs. 14, 15, and 17: $N\frac{1}{2}$; except the $N\frac{1}{2}$ $NE\frac{1}{4}$ of Sec. 17;
Sec. 18: Lots 1 and 2;
Sec. 16: $N\frac{1}{2}S\frac{1}{2}$.

R. D. NIELSON,
State Director.

[F.R. Doc. 63-492; Filed, Jan. 16, 1963;
8:49 a.m.]

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Agricultural Stabilization and Conservation Service

[Amtd. 1]

AREAS OF VENUE FOR MARKETING QUOTA REVIEW COMMITTEE PANELS

Establishment

Pursuant to section 3(a)(1) of the Administrative Procedure Act (60 Stat. 238; 5 U.S.C. 1002) which requires that the field organization be published in the FEDERAL REGISTER and § 711.12 of the Marketing Quota Review Regulations (26 F.R. 10204) which provides for establishment of areas of venue for marketing quota review committee panels, notice is hereby given that the areas of venue as previously established (28 F.R. 236) are hereby amended to include such areas of venue as established by the ASC State Committee for the State of Texas as follows:

TEXAS

Counties of:

Area I—Dallam, Hartley, Moore, Sherman
Area II—Hansford, Hutchinson, Lipscomb, Ochiltree
Area III—Armstrong, Carson, Deaf Smith, Oldham, Potter, Randall
Area IV—Gray, Hemphill, Roberts, Wheeler
Area V—Bailey, Castro, Lamb, Farmer
Area VI—Briscoe, Floyd, Hale, Swisher
Area VII—Cochran, Hockley, Terry, Yoakum
Area VIII—Crosby, Garza, Lubbock, Lynn
Area IX—Childress, Collingsworth, Donley, Hall
Area X—Cottle, Dickens, Kent, King, Motley, Stonewall

Area XI—Foard, Hardeman, Willbarger
 Area XII—Baylor, Haskell, Knox, Throckmorton
 Area XIII—Collin, Cooke, Denton, Grayson
 Area XIV—Delta, Fannin, Hunt, Lamar, Rockwall
 Area XV—Dallas, Ellis, Johnson, Kaufman, Tarrant
 Area XVI—Bowie, Franklin, Morris, Red River, Titus
 Area XVII—Henderson, Hopkins, Rains, Rusk, Smith, Van Zandt, Wood
 Area XVIII—Camp, Cass, Gregg, Harrison, Marlon, Panola, Upshur
 Area XIX—Crockett, Glasscock, Irion, Midland, Reagan, Schleicher, Sutton, Tom Green, Upton
 Area XX—Borden, Coke, Howard, Mitchell, Scurry, Sterling
 Area XXI—Fisher, Jones, Nolan, Runnels, Taylor
 Area XXII—Archer, Clay, Wichita, Young
 Area XXIII—Jack, Montague, Parker, Wise
 Area XXIV—Callahan, Eastland, Shackelford, Stephens
 Area XXV—Comanche, Erath, Hood, Palo Pinto, Somervell
 Area XXVI—Bosque, Hill, McLennan, Navarro
 Area XXVII—Bell, Falls, Milam, Williamson
 Area XXVIII—Freestone, Leon, Limestone, Madison, Robertson
 Area XXIX—Anderson, Cherokee, Houston, Trinity
 Area XXX—Angelina, Nacogdoches, Sabine, San Augustine, Shelby
 Area XXXI—Brazos, Grimes, Montgomery, San Jacinto, Walker
 Area XXXII—Jasper, Newton, Tyler, Polk
 Area XXXIII—Brewster, Culberson, El Paso, Hudspeth, Jeff Davis, Presidio
 Area XXXIV—Andrews, Crane, Dawson, Ector, Gaines, Loving, Martin, Pecos, Reeves, Terrell, Ward, Winkler
 Area XXXV—Brown, Coleman, Concho, McCulloch, San Saba
 Area XXXVI—Blanco, Gillespie, Kimble, Llano, Mason, Menard
 Area XXXVII—Burnet, Coryell, Hamilton, Lampasas, Mills
 Area XXXVIII—Caldwell, Guadalupe, Hays, Travis
 Area XXXIX—Bastrop, Fayette, Lavaca
 Area XL—Burleson, Lee, Washington
 Area XLI—De Witt, Goliad, Gonzales, Karnes
 Area XLII—Calhoun, Colorado, Jackson, Victoria, Wharton
 Area XLIII—Austin, Brazoria, Ft. Bend, Matagorda, Waller
 Area XLIV—Chambers, Galveston, Hardin, Harris, Jefferson, Liberty, Orange
 Area XLV—Bandera, Comal, Edwards, Kendall, Kerr, Real
 Area XLVI—Dimmit, Kinney, Maverick, Uvalde, Val Verde, Zavala
 Area XLVII—Atascosa, Bexar, Frio, La Salle, McMullen, Medina, Wilson
 Area XLVIII—Aransas, Bee, Live Oak, Refugio, San Patricio
 Area XLIX—Brooks, Jim Hogg, Webb, Zapata
 Area L—Duval, Jim Wells, Kenedy, Kleberg, Nueces
 Area LI—Cameron, Hidalgo, Starr, Willacy
 (Sec. 3, 60 Stat. 238; 5 U.S.C. 1002; sec. 363, 52 Stat. 63, as amended; 7 U.S.C. 1363)

Effective date: January 1, 1963.

Signed at Washington, D.C., on January 11th, 1963.

H. D. GODFREY,
 Administrator, Agricultural Stationization and Conservation Service.

[F.R. Doc. 63-511; Filed, Jan. 16, 1963; 8:51 a.m.]

CIVIL AERONAUTICS BOARD

[Docket 13700; Order No. E-19183]

OY LENTORAHTI FINLANTIC AB

Order To Show Cause

Adopted by the Civil Aeronautics Board at its office in Washington, D.C. on the 11th day of January 1963.

On June 14, 1962, Oy Lentorahti Finlantic AB (Finlantic) filed an application for a permit to engage in foreign air transportation limited solely to charter-type operations.

Finlantic requests a foreign air carrier permit authorizing it to engage in planeload charter foreign air transportation of persons and property "between any point or points in Finland, Sweden, Denmark and Norway on the one hand and any point or points in the United States of America on the other hand." Finlantic has submitted information to the Board in support of its application.¹

In view of the nature of the request, i.e., for a foreign air carrier permit solely for charter operations, the Board has decided to issue an order to show cause setting forth its tentative conclusions, and to provide a limited period within which interested parties may file objections thereto.²

The application is governed by sections 402 and 801 of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958, as amended. Paragraph (b) of section 402 empowers the Board to issue a permit to a foreign air carrier if it finds that the carrier is fit, willing and able properly to perform the foreign air transportation to be authorized, and to conform to the provisions of the Act, and the rules, regulations and requirements of the Board thereunder, and that such transportation will be in the public interest. Paragraph (e) adds that the Board may prescribe the duration of any permit and may attach to such permit such reasonable terms, conditions or limitations as, in its judgment, the public interest may require. Section 801 provides, in part, that the issuance of, and the terms, conditions and limitations contained in, any permit issuable to any foreign air carrier under section 402 shall be subject to the approval of the President.

Aside from the section 402 and section 801 requirements, the grant of rights by the United States to a foreign air carrier is determined primarily in accordance with bilateral agreements between the United States and the foreign country involved. Such a bilateral agreement exists between Finland and the United States. However, since the bilateral agreement pertains only to scheduled air service and the service proposed is other than scheduled, it is inappropriate to designate Finlantic under the agreement. In such cases, the issue of whether the grant of a permit to con-

duct such operations is in the public interest becomes essentially a consideration of reciprocity.

The Board, in determining the scope of reciprocity as to Finlantic's charter operations, has reviewed the manner in which the Finnish Government has treated requests by U.S. nonscheduled air carriers to conduct charter operations to Finland. Such review indicates that these requests have normally been promptly considered and granted.

With respect to fitness and ability to perform the transportation for which the application is made, the following facts are pertinent. Finlantic is a Finnish stock corporation, incorporated in Finland on April 18, 1957. The interests holding the ownership and effective control of Finlantic are exclusively of Finnish nationality. On August 23, 1961, Finlantic was granted authorization by the Finnish Government to operate nonscheduled flights without any area restrictions. Since commencing operations in November 1961, Finlantic has operated planeload passenger charters between Helsinki and Lisbon, Helsinki and Innsbruck, Helsinki and New York City, and Helsinki and Rio de Janeiro. It has operated planeload cargo charters between points within Europe and between points in Europe and points in the Orient. The charterers in every instance were bona fide charter groups within the definition set by the International Air Transport Association. In every instance, the passenger groups have been composed of Finnish citizens.

Finlantic's authorized capital is 9,000 shares of capital stock of a par value of 10,000 Finnish marks (10,000 marks = U.S. \$31.25), or a total of 90,000,000 Finnish marks (U.S. \$281,250.00). Three-thousand shares have been issued and are presently outstanding, providing a paid-in capital of 30,000,000 Finnish marks (U.S. \$93,750). Finlantic has indicated that it intends to issue the remaining 6,000 authorized shares in the near future.

Finlantic presently operates a Douglas DC-6A/B convertible passenger cargo aircraft acquired from Hawaiian Airlines, Inc. under a lease-purchase agreement. Finlantic's application indicates that it plans to acquire a second aircraft, a Douglas DC-6B, to provide an additional and back-up capability to its present aircraft. The competence and experience of Finlantic's pilots and navigators have been established through appropriate licensing by Finnish Civil Aviation authorities.

During 1962, Finlantic has been granted a total of six charter permits pursuant to section 1108(b) of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958, as amended. Flights conducted under these individual authorizations have given the Board opportunity to monitor the operations and services performed by this carrier.

Based on the foregoing, the Board tentatively finds that Finlantic is fit, willing and able to perform charter operations. Moreover, on the basis of reciprocity, it appears that Finlantic should be permitted to perform planeload charter operations. However, though the Board

¹ For similar request and resultant show order see Order E-18285, May 1, 1962, concerning application of Caledonian Airways (Prestwick) Ltd., Docket 13367.

² Pan American World Airways, Inc. has petitioned for leave to intervene.

feels that it is in the public interest to grant a foreign air carrier permit for charter operations to Finlantic, it believes that the permit should be granted only if certain conditions are met.

Finlantic has requested a permit authorizing it "to engage in plane-load charter foreign air transportation of persons and property between any point or points in Finland, Sweden, Denmark and Norway on the one hand and any point or points in the United States of America on the other hand."

The grant of the permit requested in this proceeding would rest on the basis of reciprocity. Because of this, the Board believes any privileges granted therein should pertain only as to charters flown between Finland and the United States. The application is endorsed by the Finnish Government. It is to be expected that requests for similar authority between other nations and the U.S. would bear the endorsement of such other nations.

In addition to this fundamental concept, the Board believes the following to be necessary conditions:

1. We believe that an award of authority to Finlantic should be conditioned in such a way as to assure that its business energies are devoted primarily to traffic development in Finland rather than in the United States. However, though we do not find any requirement for supplementation of service by a foreign flag operator for U.S. originated groups, consideration of comity and the interests of permitting a sound economic operation warrant the authorization of certain traffic rights in this country. We believe that by requiring that Finlantic's charter trips originating in the United States shall not exceed those originating in Finland, such aims can be accomplished. The applicant has indicated its willingness to abide by such a condition. The Board believes that in order to permit operational flexibility, Finlantic should be required to meet this requirement on a quarterly basis. Thus, in any given calendar quarter, the charter trips from the U.S. to Finland shall not exceed those from Finland to the U.S. For purposes of computation of limitations on U.S. originated groups, the Board would recognize any charter originating in one country and flown to the other, whether one-way or round-trip, as one charter. As indicated below, Finlantic will be subject to Part 295 of the Board's Economic Regulations and will not be authorized to operate charters for some other carrier except under the limited exceptions of Part 295.

2. To insure adequate monitoring of these charter flights, Finlantic's permit will be made subject to the provisions of Part 295 of the Board's Economic Regulations (Transatlantic Charter Trips) and any amendments thereto.³ We will, of course, except certain provisions of

Part 295 (such as § 295.13 relating to exemptions) which are clearly inapplicable. Although we will not at this time require advance approval of individual charter trips, we will specifically provide in the permit that the Board by order or regulation, and without hearing, may require advance approval if such action is found to be required by the public interest.

3. The permit would be temporary. Since this is a new type of authority for a foreign air carrier, the Board desires to observe its operation over a certain period of time looking toward a more permanent grant, a modification or discontinuance. Moreover, the final decision in the Transatlantic Charter Investigation, Docket 11908, now in progress may indicate a need for revision of the "ground rules" applicable to foreign air carrier charter operations. Under the circumstances, but still realizing the need for some degree of stability for financing, etc., a three-year permit seems appropriate.

4. The holder of this permit shall not engage in foreign air transportation between the United States and any point or points other than a point or points in Finland; nor shall it hold out to the public that it provides such service.⁴

Based on the foregoing, the Board tentatively finds that the granting to Finlantic of a foreign air carrier permit for charter operations, subject to the conditions prescribed, is in the public interest and that within the meaning of section 402 of the Act, Finlantic is fit, willing and able to perform such transportation and to conform to the provisions of the Act and the rules, regulations and requirements of the Board thereunder.

Accordingly, it is ordered:

1. That Finlantic and all other persons having an interest herein show cause why the tentative decision herein shall not become final subject to the approval of the President pursuant to section 801 of the Act, and why a foreign air carrier permit, substantially in the form attached to this order, should not be issued;

2. That any interested person desiring to file a protest, memorandum of opposition or exceptions to the proposed

regulations that may be adopted by the Board relating to charter trips by such foreign air carriers, but that until such general rules are adopted, the permit shall be subject to the provisions of Part 295.

These provisions will be applicable to charters originating within Finland, as well as those originating in the United States. Should Finland establish different criteria for charters originating in Finland, by foreign as well as home flag carriers, we would consider further our prescription of Part 295 rules for Finnish originated groups.

⁴In issuing foreign air carrier permits, the Board has customarily described routes extending only to the homeland of the foreign carrier. However, it has construed those permits as authorizing the carrier to serve points beyond the homeland (even though not specifically named), provided the flights operate via, and land at, the designated homeland terminal. The provision we are including will make it clear that Finlantic is not being granted any "beyond homeland" authority.

findings and conclusions of this tentative decision, or to the issuance of the proposed foreign air carrier permit, shall file such objections within 15 days from the service date hereof; and that such objections specify by separately numbered paragraphs the part of the tentative decision or permit excepted to, and state the grounds thereof, and such objections shall be accompanied by a petition to intervene in the form prescribed by Rule 15 of the rules of practice;

3. That any objection to the tentative decision or the proposed foreign air carrier permit not made within the 15-day period or in the form specified herein shall be deemed waived;

4. That if no objections are filed, further procedural steps shall be deemed waived and the matter submitted to the Board for decision thereon and submission of the Board's decision to the President of the United States;

5. That, if objections are duly filed, this proceeding shall be set for immediate hearing before an Examiner of this Board, and the hearing shall be limited to consideration of issues raised by the objections filed;

6. That this order be served upon Oy Lento-rahiti Finlantic AB and Pan American World Airways, Inc.; and

7. That this order shall be published in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

Since our order herein makes specific provision for the filing of objections to the order, separate petitions for reconsideration will not be entertained.

By the Civil Aeronautics Board.

[SEAL] HAROLD R. SANDERSON,
Secretary.

PROPOSED PERMIT TO FOREIGN AIR CARRIER

Oy Lento-rahiti Finlantic AB is hereby authorized, subject to the provisions herein-after set forth, the provisions of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958, as amended, and the orders, rules, and regulations issued hereunder, to engage in plane-load charter foreign air transportation of persons and property as follows:

Between any point or points in Finland, on the one hand, and any point or points in the United States of America, on the other hand.

The holder shall not engage in foreign air transportation between the United States and any point or points, other than a point or points in Finland, nor shall it hold out to the public that it provides such service.

Within any given calendar quarter, charter trips originating in the United States shall not exceed those originating in Finland. Any charter originating in one country and flown to the other, whether one-way or round-trip, will be considered one charter for these purposes.

The exercise of the privileges granted hereby shall be subject to such reasonable terms, conditions and limitations required by the public interest as may from time to time be prescribed by the Board. Until such time as the Board adopts rules or regulations prescribing terms, conditions and limitations applicable to foreign air carrier permits authorizing the holder to engage only in plane-load charter foreign air transportation of persons, the exercise of the privileges granted hereby shall be subject to the provisions of Part 295 of the Board's Economic Regulations, and all amendments or revisions thereto, except the provisions of said Part relating to initial applications seeking authorization to conduct charter

³Since the Board at a future date may embody provisions similar to those of Part 295 in a general rule or regulation applicable not only to Finlantic but to any other foreign air carrier who may be issued a permit authorizing it to engage exclusively in plane-load charter transportation, we will, as a technical matter, provide that Finlantic's permit shall be subject to all rules and

operations. The Board by order or regulation, and without hearing, may require advance approval of individual charter trips conducted by the holder pursuant to the authority granted hereby, if it finds such action to be required in the public interest.

Prior to performing any charter transportation pursuant to the authorization granted hereby, the holder shall have on file with the Board a tariff showing all its rates, fares, and charges for the use of the entire capacity of one or more aircraft in foreign air transportation and all its rules, regulations, practices and services in connection therewith.

The holder shall not operate charters for, or on behalf of, any other carrier except as may be permitted by Part 295 of the Board's Economic Regulations, or by the provisions of any rule or regulation to which this permit may hereafter become subject in lieu of Part 295.

The holder hereof shall conform to the airworthiness and airman competency requirements prescribed by the Finnish Government for Finnish international air service.

This permit shall be subject to all applicable provisions of any treaty, convention, or agreement affecting international air transportation now in effect, or that may become effective during the period this permit remains in effect, to which the United States and the Finnish Government shall be parties.

This permit shall be subject to the condition that in the event any practice develops which the Board regards as inimical to sound economic conditions the holder and the Board will consult with respect thereto and will use their best efforts to agree upon modifications thereof satisfactory to the Board and the holder.

By accepting this permit, the holder waives any right it may possess to assert any defense of sovereign immunity from suit in any action or proceeding instituted against the holder in any court or other tribunal in the United States (or its territories or possessions) based upon any claim arising out of operations by the holder under this permit.

This permit shall be effective on ----- and shall terminate three years thereafter: *Provided, however,* That if in the aforesaid period during which this permit shall be effective, the operation of the foreign air transportation herein authorized becomes the subject of any treaty, convention or agreement, to which the United States and the Finnish Government are or shall have become parties, then, and in that event, this permit is continued in effect during the period provided in such treaty, convention, or agreement.

In witness whereof, the Civil Aeronautics Board has caused this permit to be executed by the Secretary of the Board, and the seal of the Board to be affixed hereto, on the -----

[SEAL]

Secretary.

Issuance of this permit to the holder approved by the President of the United States on ----- in Order E-----

[F.R. Doc. 63-496; Filed, Jan. 16, 1963; 8:50 a.m.]

CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION

POSITIONS FOR WHICH THERE IS DETERMINED TO BE A MANPOWER SHORTAGE

Notice of Listing

Under the provisions of Public Law 86-587, the Civil Service Commission has determined that there is a manpower shortage for the following:

Positions; Location; and Effective date

Research and Development positions in the Biological Sciences authorized by Public Law 313, 80th Congress, and section 208(g) of the Public Health Service Act; Nationwide; January 7, 1963.

If there are comparable research and development positions subject to the Classification Act in the GS-400, Biological Sciences Group, grades 16, 17 and 18, or comparable positions provided by other authority, they are covered.

Travel and transportation expenses may be paid for appointees to their duty station for the positions as listed above.

Any such payments as a result of this determination must be made in accordance with travel regulations issued by the Bureau of the Budget.

UNITED STATES CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION,

[SEAL] MARY V. WENZEL,
Executive Assistant to
the Commissioners.

[F.R. Doc. 63-509; Filed, Jan. 16, 1963; 8:50 a.m.]

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

[Docket No. 14915; FCC 63-43]

AMERICAN TELEPHONE AND TELEGRAPH CO. ET AL.

Order Instituting Investigation

In the matter of American Telephone and Telegraph Company, The Chesapeake and Potomac Telephone Company, The Chesapeake and Potomac Telephone Company of Maryland and the Chesapeake and Potomac Telephone Company of Virginia; charges, classifications, regulations and practices for "T-3" conditioned channels.

At a session of the Federal Communications Commission held at its offices in Washington, D.C., on the 11th day of January, 1963;

The Commission, having under consideration the American Telephone and Telegraph Company's Tariff F.C.C. No. 135, Third Revised Page 23AA filed under Transmittal No. 7343 containing charges and regulations for the provision by The Chesapeake and Potomac Telephone Company, The Chesapeake and Potomac Telephone Company of Maryland, and The Chesapeake and Potomac Telephone Company of Virginia of "T-3" conditioned channels, and a petition filed by the Secretary of the Army, dated January 4, 1963, requesting suspension and investigation of the rates contained in said Tariff;

It appearing, that the Commission is unable to determine that the charges and regulations contained in the above-mentioned tariff schedule are or will be just and reasonable or otherwise lawful under the provisions of section 201(b) or section 202(a) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended;

It further appearing, that if the above-mentioned tariff schedule is permitted to become effective on the date specified thereon, substantial injury to the public may result;

It is ordered, That pursuant to the provisions of section 204 of the Act, the operation of Third Revised Page 23AA of Tariff F.C.C. No. 135 is hereby suspended until January 15, 1963, and that during that period no changes shall be made in said tariff schedule except as authorized or directed by the Commission;

It is further ordered, That pursuant to the provisions of sections 201, 202, 204, 205 and 403 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, an investigation is hereby instituted upon the Commission's own motion into the lawfulness of the above-mentioned tariff including amendments thereto and successive issues thereof;

It is further ordered, That without in any way limiting the scope of the proceeding it shall include inquiry into the following:

1. Whether any of the charges, classifications, regulations, and practices contained in such tariff schedule are or will be unjust or unreasonable within the meaning of section 201(b) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended.

2. Whether such tariff schedule will subject any person or class of persons to unjust or unreasonable discrimination or give any undue or unreasonable preference or advantage to any person, class of persons or locality, or subject any person, class of persons or locality to any undue or unreasonable prejudice or disadvantage within the meaning of section 202(a) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended;

3. Whether the Commission should prescribe just and reasonable charges, classifications, regulations, and practices or the maximum or minimum or maximum and minimum charges to be hereafter followed with respect to the service governed by the above-mentioned tariff schedule, and, if so, what charges, classifications, regulations and practices should be prescribed;

It is further ordered, That in the event a decision as to the lawfulness of the tariff schedule herein suspended has not been made during the suspension period, and such tariff schedule goes into effect, the telephone companies named in this Order shall, until further order of the Commission, keep accurate account of all amounts charged, collected or received by reason of the charges set forth in the above-mentioned tariff schedule, specifying by whom and in whose behalf such amounts are paid; and shall file with the Commission on or before the 10th day of each month, commencing February 10, 1963, reports showing the amounts accounted for during the previous month.

It is further ordered, That the American Telephone and Telegraph Company, The Chesapeake and Potomac Telephone Company, The Chesapeake and Potomac Telephone Company of Maryland and The Chesapeake and Potomac Telephone Company of Virginia are hereby made parties respondent in this proceeding, and the Secretary of the Army is hereby granted leave to intervene herein upon the filing of a notice of intention to participate in this pro-

ceeding within twenty days from the date of issuance of this Order.

Released: January 14, 1963.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS
COMMISSION,
[SEAL] BEN F. WAPLE,
Acting Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 63-500; Filed, Jan. 16, 1963;
8:50 a.m.]

[Docket No. 14321-14328; FCC 63M-52]

BLACK HILLS VIDEO CORP.

Memorandum Opinion and Order Scheduling Prehearing Conference

In re applications of Black Hills Video Corporation, Docket No. 14321, File No. 223-C1-R-61; For renewal of the license for Station KAR42, a facility in the Domestic Public Point-to-Point Microwave Radio Service at Fredericktown, Missouri; Docket No. 14322, File No. 361-C1-ML-61; For modification of license to cover a construction permit for additional facilities for Station KAR42 in the Domestic Public Point-to-Point Microwave Radio Service at Fredericktown, Missouri; Docket No. 14323, File No. 338-C1-R-61; For renewal of the license for Station KKKU98, a facility in the Domestic Public Point-to-Point Microwave Radio Service at Davy, Texas; Docket No. 14324, File No. 752-C1-R-61; For renewal of the license for Station KAP22, a facility in the Domestic Public Point-to-Point Microwave Radio Service at Weld County, Colorado; Docket No. 14325, File No. 753-C1-R-61; For renewal of the license for Station KAP23, a facility in the Domestic Public Point-to-Point Microwave Radio Service at Mitchell, Nebraska; Docket No. 14326, File No. 754-C1-R-61; For renewal of the license for Station KAP25, a facility in the Domestic Public Point-to-Point Microwave Radio Service at Crawford, Nebraska; Docket No. 14327, File No. 755-C1-R-61; For renewal of the license for Station KOY47, a facility in the Domestic Public Point-to-Point Microwave Radio Service at Albin, Wyoming; Docket No. 14328, File No. 756-C1-R-61; For renewal of the license for Station KAQ88, a facility in the Domestic Public Point-to-Point Microwave Radio Service at Custer, South Dakota.

1. On January 8, 1963, the Commission released a Memorandum Opinion and Order (FCC 63-18), wherein it remanded the proceeding in this matter to the Examiner with directions to reopen the record for further hearing on issues specified therein, and to issue a supplemental initial decision on such issues.¹ It appears to the Examiner upon review of the Commission's Memorandum, particularly the 11 different matters upon

¹ The record in this proceeding was closed on June 21, 1962, and, while the interlocutory matter which was the subject of the Commission's Memorandum Opinion and Order was awaiting determination (the petition to enlarge issues having been filed on June 19, 1962), the Examiner released an Initial Decision herein on November 26, 1962 (FCC 62D-94).

which he is required to make findings, that it would be useful as well as conducive to a prompt dispatch of such matters, to hold a prehearing conference. At such conference, the parties will be expected to address themselves to the various matters placed in issue by the Commission. In this connection, it appears to the Examiner that he should, during the prehearing conference, be advised of the evidence proposed to be introduced in response to new issues (e), (f) and (g) with respect to each of the following matters:

(a) The original cost of each item of equipment installed in each of the above-captioned microwave systems;

(b) The cost of installing such equipment, including the basis and justification for the allocation of any joint expenses and any overhead costs or other indirect costs included in the claimed investment;

(c) The period for which the evidence should be introduced regarding the cost of maintaining the system; the manner in which such costs are determined, including the basis and justification for the allocation of joint costs and the addition of indirect costs;

(d) The manner in which evidence regarding the condition of each of the systems is to be introduced, specifically whether evidence will be proposed regarding the physical condition of the system, its transmission characteristics, or any other features, regarding this matter and a justification for what will be proposed;

(e) The basis upon which applicant has, thus far, amortized its investment in each system, the manner in which such amortization has been carried on its books, as well as the justification therefor, and the credits claimed on Federal income tax returns for such amortization or depreciation;

(f) The reasonableness of the amortization thus far claimed, particularly in relation to earnings with respect to each of the systems, the manner in which the applicant proposes to recover that part of its investment, if any, which has not yet been amortized, the justification therefor and the relationship thereof to the expected earnings of the applicant with respect to each of the systems;

(g) The attempts made by the applicant thus far to determine whether equipment was available for use by the applicant in the Business Radio Service, the names of each manufacturer or supplier of whom inquiry was made, the dates of such inquiries and the information gained as a result thereof;

(h) The manner in which the applicant determined whether such equipment as might be available was suitable to meet the requirements of each of the systems and the basis upon which conclusions with respect to suitability were arrived at; the original cost of each item of equipment, if any, which might be appropriate for use in the Business Radio Service; the number of manufacturers or suppliers from whom this information was sought and the results of such inquiry; the cost of installing and maintaining such equipment, with an indication of how it was determined, as well

as the basis and justification for the allocation of joint costs and the addition of indirect costs; the trade-in or resale value of the present equipment, including the manner in which this was determined, stated separately with respect to different manufacturers, suppliers, or prospective customers consulted;

(i) Whether present equipment in each system can in whole or in part be converted for use in the Business Radio Service, the basis for such determination and, if convertibility is possible, the cost thereof, each stated separately with respect to each manufacturer or supplier consulted; and

(j) Information when and under what circumstances the applicant or any of its principals or managerial staff was first informed regarding the requirements to show usage of its facilities by non-related subscribers upon renewal, the persons who gave such information, and whether it was oral or in writing.

2. To the extent that any of the parties are of the opinion that any of the matters hereinabove listed by the examiner are beyond the scope of the issues specified by the Commission's Memorandum, the Examiner would appreciate receiving at the prehearing conference appropriate memoranda designed to show this. The parties' attention is invited to the fact that the matters here specified are not designed to be exclusive and that any party is free to suggest at the conference that other matters may be encompassed within the additional issues specified by the Commission. In making such suggestions, the moving party should, of course, indicate with particularity what such matters are and how, in the opinion of the party, they should be specified.

Accordingly, it is ordered, This 9th day of January, 1963, that the record herein is reopened and that a prehearing conference shall be held at the offices of the Commission in Washington, D.C., on January 18, 1963, beginning at 9:00 a.m.; and

It is further ordered, That in addition to all other matters normally the subject of consideration at a prehearing conference, the parties shall specifically address themselves to each of the matters hereinabove specified.

Released: January 11, 1963.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS
COMMISSION,
[SEAL] BEN F. WAPLE,
Acting Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 63-501; Filed, Jan. 16, 1963;
8:50 a.m.]

[Docket Nos. 14873-14877; FCC 63M-61]

COASTAL BROADCASTERS, INC., ET AL.

Statement and Order After Prehearing Conference

In re applications of Coastal Broadcasters, Inc., Herndon, Virginia, Docket No. 14873, File No. BP-14363; Prince William Broadcasting Corporation (WPRW), Manassas, Virginia, Docket No. 14874, File No. BP-14780; Virginia-

Potomac Broadcasting Corporation, Herndon, Virginia, Docket No. 14875, File No. BP-15157; Colchester Broadcasting Corporation, Herndon, Virginia, Docket No. 14876, File No. BP-15158; Richard S. Cobb & Mary Cobb, d/b as Easton Broadcasting Co. (WEMD), Easton, Maryland, Docket No. 14877, File No. BP-15159; for construction permits.

At the prehearing conference today the following schedule was agreed upon. The transcript of the prehearing conference when available is incorporated by reference.

Exchange of direct affirmative written comparative case of applicants by February 20, 1963; preliminary exchange of direct affirmative written engineering and section 307(b) exhibits by April 8, 1963; final exchange of engineering and section 307(b) exhibits by April 22, 1963; hearing of comparative lay case, Wednesday, March 6, 1963, at 10 a.m.; remainder of hearing, Wednesday, May 1, 1963, at 10 a.m. (The hearing has been rescheduled from February 11, 1963.)

So ordered, This 10th day of January 1963.

Released: January 11, 1963.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS
COMMISSION,

[SEAL] BEN F. WAPLE,
Acting Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 63-502; Filed, Jan. 16, 1963;
8:50 a.m.]

[Docket No. 14852; FCC 62M-54]

MISSISSIPPI VALLEY MICROWAVE
CO., INC.

Order Continuing Hearing

In re application of Mississippi Valley Microwave Co., Inc., Docket No. 14852, File Nos. 2930-Cl-P-62, 2931-Cl-P-62; for construction permits to establish stations in the Point-to-Point Microwave Radio Service near Rochester and Winona, Minnesota.

Pursuant to the agreements reached at the prehearing conference held on January 2, 1963, the evidentiary hearing in the above-entitled proceeding now scheduled to begin on January 28, 1963, is continued to March 18, 1963.

It is so ordered, This the 9th day of January 1963.

Released: January 14, 1963.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS
COMMISSION,

[SEAL] BEN F. WAPLE,
Acting Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 63-503; Filed, Jan. 16, 1963;
8:50 a.m.]

[Docket Nos. 14527, 14528; FCC 63M-60]

PEOPLE'S BROADCASTING CO.
(WPBC) AND GABRIEL BROADCASTING CO.

Order Continuing Hearing

In re applications of People's Broadcasting Company (WPBC), Minneapolis, Minnesota, Docket No. 14527, File No. BP-13692; Nicholas Tedesco and Victor

J. Tedesco, d/b as Gabriel Broadcasting Company, Chisholm, Minnesota, Docket No. 14528, File No. BP-14416; for construction permits.

The Hearing Examiner having under consideration a "Petition to Continue Hearing" filed by People's Broadcasting Company on January 10, 1963, in the above-entitled matter; and

It appearing, that the petition requests the continuance of the hearing now scheduled for January 18, 1963, to February 18, 1963; and

It further appearing, that good cause for the continuance has been shown and that all interested parties to the proceeding agree to the continuance;

It is ordered, This 10th day of January 1963 that the aforesaid petition is granted, and that accordingly, the hearing now scheduled for January 18, 1963, be and hereby is continued to 10:00 a.m., February 18, 1963, in the Offices of the Commission in Washington, D.C.

Released: January 11, 1963.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS
COMMISSION,

[SEAL] BEN F. WAPLE,
Acting Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 63-504; Filed, Jan. 16, 1963;
8:50 a.m.]

[Docket Nos. 14909, 14910; FCC 63M-65]

SOUTHERN RADIO AND TELEVISION
CO. AND ROBERT HECKSHER

Order Scheduling Hearing

In re applications of Southern Radio and Television Co., Lehigh Acres, Florida, Docket No. 14909, File No. BP-14297; Robert Hecksher, Fort Myers, Florida, Docket No. 14910, File No. BP-14378; for construction permits.

It is ordered, This 11th day of January 1963, that Herbert Sharfman will preside at the hearing in the above-entitled proceeding which is hereby scheduled to commence on March 13, 1963, in Washington, D.C.

It is further ordered, That a prehearing conference in the proceeding will be convened by the presiding officer at 9:00 a.m., Tuesday, February 5, 1963.

Released: January 11, 1963.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS
COMMISSION,

[SEAL] BEN F. WAPLE,
Acting Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 63-505; Filed, Jan. 16, 1963;
8:50 a.m.]

[Docket No. 14908; FCC 63M-64]

WARSAW-MOUNT OLIVE
BROADCASTING CO.

Order Scheduling Hearing

In re application of Onslow Broadcasting Corporation tr/as Warsaw-Mount Olive Broadcasting Company, Warsaw, North Carolina, Docket No. 14908, File No. BP-14364; for construction permit.

It is ordered, This 11th day of January 1963, that Isadore A. Honig will preside at the hearing in the above-entitled

proceeding which is hereby scheduled to commence on March 5, 1963, in Washington, D.C.

It is further ordered, That a prehearing conference in the proceeding will be convened by the presiding officer at 9:00 a.m., Tuesday, February 12, 1963.

Released: January 11, 1963.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS
COMMISSION,

[SEAL] BEN F. WAPLE,
Acting Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 63-506; Filed, Jan. 16, 1963;
8:50 a.m.]

FEDERAL POWER COMMISSION

[Project No. 2248]

ARIZONA POWER AUTHORITY

Notice Fixing Oral Argument

JANUARY 10, 1963.

Upon consideration of the Motion filed December 3, 1962, by the Secretary of the Interior for an opportunity to present oral argument;

Notice is given that an oral argument is hereby scheduled to be held at 10:00 a.m., February 15, 1963, in a hearing room of the Federal Power Commission on the Examiner's decision issued September 10, 1962, and the exceptions thereto filed by the parties;

Any party desiring to participate in the oral argument should notify the Secretary on or before February 4 of the time desired for the presentation of their argument.

By direction of the Commission.

JOSEPH H. GUTRIDE,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 63-466; Filed, Jan 16, 1963;
8:46 a.m.]

[Docket No. CP63-166]

TEXAS EASTERN TRANSMISSION
CORP.

Notice of Application and Date of
Hearing

JANUARY 10, 1963.

Take notice that on December 10, 1962, Texas Eastern Transmission Corporation (Applicant), P.O. Box 2521, Houston, Tex., filed in Docket No. CP63-166 an application pursuant to section 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act for a certificate of public convenience and necessity authorizing the construction during the calendar year 1963 and the operation of field facilities to enable Applicant to take into its certificated main pipeline system natural gas which will be purchased from producers thereof, all as more fully set forth in the application which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

The purpose of this "budget-type" application is to augment Applicant's ability to act with reasonable dispatch in contracting for and connecting to its pipeline system new supplies of natural gas in various producing areas generally coextensive with said system.

The total cost of the proposed facilities will not exceed a maximum of \$5,000,000, and no single project will exceed a cost of \$500,000. The application states that the proposed facilities will be financed from funds on hand.

This matter is one that should be disposed of as promptly as possible under the applicable rules and regulations and to that end:

Take further notice that, pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to the jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Power Commission by sections 7 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act, and the Commission's rules of practice and procedure, a hearing will be held on February 14, 1963, at 9:30 a.m., e.s.t., in a Hearing Room of the Federal Power Commission, 441 G Street NW., Washington, D.C., concerning the matters involved in and the issues presented by such application: *Provided, however,* That the Commission may, after a non-contested hearing, dispose of the proceedings pursuant to the provisions of § 1.30(c) (1) or (2) of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure. Under the procedure herein provided for, unless otherwise advised, it will be unnecessary for Applicant to appear or be represented at the hearing.

Protests or petitions to intervene may be filed with the Federal Power Commission, Washington 25, D.C., in accordance with the rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10) on or before February 4, 1963. Failure of any party to appear at and participate in the hearing shall be construed as waiver of and concurrence in omission herein of the intermediate decision procedure in cases where a request therefor is made.

JOSEPH H. GUTRIDE,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 63-467; Filed, Jan. 16, 1963;
8:46 a.m.]

[Docket No. CI62-1255 etc.]

DALE M. THOMPSON ET AL.

Notice of Applications and Date of Hearing

JANUARY 10, 1963.

Dale M. Thompson (Operator), et al., successor to Clark Dale Drilling Company, Docket Nos. CI62-1255, G-14170, G-14171; Edmond J. Ford, Jr., and Harry W. Hamilton, successor to C. C. Winn, CI62-1429, CI60-548.

Take notice that on April 26, 1962, Dale M. Thompson (Operator), et al., in Docket No. CI62-1255, and on May 17, 1962, Edmond J. Ford, Jr., and Harry W. Hamilton, in Docket No. CI62-1429, filed applications pursuant to section 7 (c) of the Natural Gas Act for certificates of public convenience and necessity authorizing the continuation of sales of natural gas in interstate commerce previously authorized to be made by their respective predecessors in interest as indicated in the caption of this notice.

These sales, as represented in the respective applications in Docket Nos. CI62-1255 and CI62-1429, which are on file with the Commission and open to public inspection, are proposed to be con-

tinued by the assignee Applicants in accordance with the terms of the respective original basic contracts in the predecessor Docket Nos. G-14170 and G-14171, and CI60-548, as supplemented which contracts and supplements have been accepted for filing and appropriately redesignated in the names of the successor Applicants.

These matters should be heard on a consolidated record and disposed of as promptly as possible under the applicable rules and regulations and to that end:

Take further notice that, pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to the jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Power Commission by sections 7 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act, and the Commission's rules of practice and procedure, a hearing will be held on February 7, 1963, at 9:30 a.m., e.s.t., in a Hearing Room of the Federal Power Commission, 441 G Street NW., Washington, D.C., concerning the matters involved in and the issues presented by such applications: *Provided, however,* That the Commission may, after a non-contested hearing, dispose of the proceedings pursuant to the provisions of § 1.30(c) (1) or (2) of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure. Under the procedure herein provided for, unless otherwise advised, it will be unnecessary for Applicants to appear or be represented at the hearing.

Protests or petitions to intervene may be filed with the Federal Power Commission, Washington 25, D.C., in accordance with the rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10) on or before February 1, 1963. Failure of any party to appear at and participate in the hearing shall be construed as waiver of and concurrence in omission herein of the intermediate decision procedure in cases where a request therefor is made.

JOSEPH H. GUTRIDE,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 63-468; Filed, Jan. 16, 1963;
8:46 a.m.]

[Docket No. CP63-165]

TRUNKLINE GAS CO.

Notice of Application and Date of Hearing

JANUARY 10, 1963.

Take notice that on December 10, 1962, Trunkline Gas Company (Applicant), P.O. Box 1642, Houston 1, Tex., filed in Docket No. CP63-165 an application pursuant to section 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act for a certificate of public convenience and necessity authorizing the construction during the calendar year 1963 and the operation of field facilities to enable Applicant to take into its certificated main pipeline system natural gas which will be purchased from producers thereof, all as more fully set forth in the application which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

The purpose of this "budget-type" application is to augment Applicant's ability to act with reasonable dispatch in contracting for and connecting to its pipeline system new supplies of natural

gas in various producing areas generally coextensive with said system.

The total cost of the proposed facilities will not exceed a maximum of \$2,500,000, and no single project will exceed a cost of \$500,000. The application states that the proposed facilities will be financed from funds on hand.

This matter is one that should be disposed of as promptly as possible under the applicable rules and regulations and to that end:

Take further notice that, pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to the jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Power Commission by sections 7 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act, and the Commission's rules of practice and procedure, a hearing will be held on February 14, 1963, at 9:30 a.m., e.s.t., in a Hearing Room of the Federal Power Commission, 441 G Street NW., Washington, D.C. concerning the matters involved in and the issues presented by such application: *Provided, however,* That the Commission may, after a non-contested hearing, dispose of the proceedings pursuant to the provisions of § 1.30(c) (1) or (2) of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure. Under the procedure herein provided for, unless otherwise advised, it will be unnecessary for Applicant to appear or be represented at the hearing.

Protests or petitions to intervene may be filed with the Federal Power Commission, Washington 25, D.C., in accordance with the rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10) on or before February 4, 1963. Failure of any party to appear at and participate in the hearing shall be construed as waiver of and concurrence in omission herein of the intermediate decision procedure in cases where a request therefor is made.

JOSEPH H. GUTRIDE,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 63-469; Filed, Jan. 16, 1963;
8:46 a.m.]

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[File No. 24SF-2398]

COSMOPOLITAN LIFE & CASUALTY CO.

Order Temporarily Suspending Exemption, Statement of Reasons Therefor, and Notice of Opportunity for Hearing

JANUARY 11, 1963.

I. Cosmopolitan Life & Casualty Company (issuer), 6028 W. Glendale Avenue, Glendale, Arizona, was incorporated in Arizona on February 21, 1957, to engage in business as a legal reserve to life insurance company. Issuer was incorporated as a vehicle through which policy holders in Cosmopolitan Life Insurance Company, a mutual benefit company having the same organizers and promoters, could apply their policy dividends or refunds to purchase of stock in issuer, which would be activated when the statutory minimum capital was so accumulated. On April 8, 1957, issuer's

notification on Form 1-A (with offering circular) covering an offering of 150,000 shares of common stock at \$2 per share (aggregate \$300,000) was filed in the San Francisco Regional Office for the purpose of obtaining an exemption from the registration requirements of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, pursuant to the provisions of section 3(b) thereof and Regulation A promulgated thereunder.

II. The Commission has reason to believe that:

A. Issuer has not complied with the terms and conditions of section 256(e) of Regulation A by failing to file a 9-month revised offering circular due since July 1, 1962.

B. The offering circular omits to state material facts necessary in order to make the statements made, in the light of the circumstances under which they were made, not misleading, with respect to:

1. Proceedings instituted in July 1962, by the Arizona Director of Insurance for liquidation and dissolution of Cosmopolitan Life Insurance Company, the affiliated mutual insurance company, because of the mutual company's "hopeless impairment."

2. The fact that none of the issuer's stock has been sold since December 31, 1960.

3. The sale, by the receiver of the mutual company, of its insurance in effect to a nonaffiliated company.

4. The expiration on August 17, 1962, of issuer's permit to sell its securities in Arizona.

5. The failure of the issuer to impound, as it represented in the offering circular that it would, the gross proceeds from its offering.

C. By reason of the matters stated under "B" above the offering would be conducted in violation of the anti-fraud provision of section 17(a) of the Securities Act of 1933.

III. It appearing to the Commission that it is in the public interest and for the protection of investors that the exemption under Regulation A be temporarily suspended: *It is ordered*, Pursuant to Rule 261(a) of the general rules and regulations under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, that the exemption under Regulation A be, and it hereby is, temporarily suspended.

Notice is hereby given that any person having any interest in the matter may file with the Secretary of the Commission a written request for hearing within thirty days after the entry of this order; that within 20 days after receipt of such request the Commission will, or at any time upon its own motion may, set the matter down for hearing at a place to be designated by the Commission, for the purpose of determining whether this order of suspension should be vacated or made permanent, without prejudice, however, to the consideration and presentation of additional matters at the hearing; that, if no hearing is requested and none is ordered by the Commission, this order shall become permanent on the thirtieth day after its entry and shall remain in effect unless or until it is modified or vacated by the Commission; and that notice of the time and

place for any hearing will promptly be given by the Commission.

By the Commission.

[SEAL]

ORVAL L. DuBOIS,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 63-483; Filed, Jan. 16, 1963;
8:48 a.m.]

[File No. 24SF-3102]

DEL CONSOLIDATED INDUSTRIES, INC.

Notice and Order for Hearing

JANUARY 11, 1963.

I. Del Consolidated Industries, Inc. (issuer), 631 North Central Ave. and 1645 Court Place, Phoenix, Arizona, was incorporated in Delaware (with its principal offices in Phoenix, Arizona) on October 19, 1960, to engage in diversified activities involving oil, gas, and minerals, and filed with the San Francisco Regional Office on August 27, 1962, a notification and proposed form of offering circular relating to an offering of 70,000 shares of its \$0.25 par value common stock at \$2.50 per share, for an aggregate offering price of \$175,000, for the purpose of obtaining an exemption from the registration requirements of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, pursuant to the provisions of section 3(b) thereof and Regulation A promulgated thereunder.

II. The Commission, on December 7, 1962, issued an order pursuant to Rule 261 of the general rules and regulations under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, which temporarily suspended the issuer's exemption under Regulation A and afforded to any person having any interest therein an opportunity to request a hearing. A written request for a hearing has been received by the Commission.

The Commission deems it necessary and appropriate that a hearing be held for the purpose of determining whether it should vacate the temporary suspension order or enter an order of permanent suspension in this matter.

It is hereby ordered, Pursuant to Rule 261 of the general rules and regulations under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, that a hearing be held at 10:00 a.m., e.s.t., on January 28, 1963, at the main office of the Commission, 425 Second Street NW., Washington 25, D.C., with respect to the following matters and questions, without prejudice, however, to the specification of additional issues which may be presented in these proceedings:

A. Whether the offering circular omits to state material facts necessary to make the statements made, in the light of the circumstances under which they were made, not misleading with respect to:

1. The geographical location, type and potential of accumulations of oil and gas, production data, estimated reserves and secondary recovery potential on its oil properties.

2. The nature, content, basis of estimated costs and quality of beryllium in its mining properties.

3. The report of its geologist, which omitted his qualifications and experi-

ence, and included unsubstantiated conclusions as to the value of minerals in issuer's properties.

4. The experience of issuer's officers and directors and their direct and indirect interests in issuer's properties.

B. Whether the terms and conditions of Items 8A (disclosure relating to the mining properties and to expert's report) and 9(c) (disclosure relating to interests of management) of Schedule I of Form 1-A required by Rule 255 of the general rules and regulations under the Securities Act of 1933 have not been complied with, as noted above.

C. Whether the offering would be made in violation of section 17 of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended.

III. *It is further ordered*, That Sidney Ullman, or any officer or officers of the Commission designated by it for that purpose, shall preside at the hearing; that any officer or officers so designated to preside at any such hearing are hereby authorized to exercise all the powers granted to the Commission under sections 19(b), 21 and 22(c) of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and to hearing officers under the Commission's rules of practice.

It is further ordered, That the Secretary of the Commission shall serve a copy of this order by registered mail on Del Consolidated Industries, Inc., that notice of the entering of this order shall be given to all other persons by a general release of the Commission and by publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER. Any person who desires to be heard, or otherwise wishes to participate in the hearing, shall file with the Secretary of the Commission on or before January 25, 1963, a written request relative thereto as provided in Rule 9(c) of the Commission's rules of practice.

By the Commission.

[SEAL]

ORVAL L. DuBOIS,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 63-484; Filed, Jan. 16, 1963;
8:48 a.m.]

[File No. 811-567]

HBNS CORP.

Notice of Filing of Application for Order Declaring That Company Has Ceased To Be an Investment Company

JANUARY 11, 1963.

Notice is hereby given that HBNS Corporation ("applicant"), 200 Fifth Avenue, New York 10, New York, a New York corporation and a management closed-end non-diversified investment company registered under the Investment Company Act of 1940 ("Act") has filed an application pursuant to section 8(f) of the Act for an order declaring that applicant has ceased to be an investment company as defined in the Act.

All interested persons are referred to the application on file with the Commission for a full statement of the representations therein which are summarized below.

Applicant was dissolved and its assets distributed pursuant to a motion made

and unanimously adopted at a meeting of the stockholders held December 6, 1956 on due notice to all stockholders.

It is further asserted that at the time of dissolution, applicant had issued and outstanding a total of 2,505 shares of stock, and that at the same time, applicant held 5,375 shares of stock of the Joseph Dixon Crucible Company ("Dixon"). The Dixon stock, upon dissolution of applicant, was pro-rated among the stockholders of applicant and the cash remaining in the hands of applicant, after the payments of all obligations thereof, was likewise pro-rated among the stockholders of applicant.

Applicant was duly dissolved under the laws of the State of New York by certificate filed in the office of the Secretary of State of New York on April 26, 1956.

Section 8(f) of the Act provides, in pertinent part, that whenever the Commission upon application finds that a registered investment company has ceased to be an investment company, it shall so declare by order and upon the taking effect of such order, the registration of such company shall cease to be in effect.

Notice is further given that any interested person may, not later than January 29, 1963, at 5:30 p.m. submit to the Commission in writing a request for a hearing on the matter accompanied by a statement as to the nature of his interest, the reason for such request and the issues of fact or law proposed to be controverted, or he may request that he be notified if the Commission shall order a hearing thereon. Any such communication should be addressed: Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, Washington 25, D.C. A copy of such request shall be served personally or by mail (air mail if the person being served is located more than 500 miles from the point of mailing) upon applicant at the address stated above. Proof of such service (by affidavit or in case of an attorney-at-law by certificate) shall be filed contemporaneously with the request. At any time after said date, as provided by Rule O-5 of the rules and regulations promulgated under the Act, an order disposing of the application herein may be issued by the Commission upon the basis of the showing contained in said application unless an order for hearing upon said application shall be issued upon request or upon the Commission's own motion.

By the Commission.

[SEAL]

ORVAL L. DuBOIS,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 63-485; Filed, Jan. 16, 1963;
8:48 a.m.]

THE RENEGOTIATION BOARD

STATEMENT OF ORGANIZATION

Miscellaneous Amendments

The Statement of Organization published in the issue of September 28, 1956 (F.R. Doc. 56-7859; 21 F.R. 7467), as amended in the issues of July 23, 1957

(F.R. Doc. 57-6008; 22 F.R. 5848), March 28, 1961 (F.R. Doc. 61-2702; 26 F.R. 2632), and January 20, 1962 (F.R. Doc. 62-671; 27 F.R. 641), is hereby further amended as follows:

Section 3(b) is amended by deleting subparagraph (2) under the heading "Location" and inserting in lieu thereof the following:

(2) Western Regional Renegotiation Board, 320 North Vermont Avenue, Los Angeles 4, California.

Dated: January 14, 1963.

LAWRENCE E. HARTWIG,
Chairman.

[F.R. Doc. 63-497; Filed, Jan. 16, 1963;
8:50 a.m.]

TARIFF COMMISSION

[TEA-W-1]

WORKERS' PETITION FOR DETERMINATION OF ELIGIBILITY TO APPLY FOR ADJUSTMENT ASSISTANCE

Notice of Investigation

Upon petition under section 301(a)(2) of the Trade Expansion Act of 1962, filed January 9, 1963 on behalf of a group of workers of the zinc mine and mill operated by the New Jersey Zinc Company at Hanover, New Mexico, the United States Tariff Commission, on the 14th day of January 1963, instituted an investigation under section 301(c)(2) of the said Act to determine whether, as a result in major part of concessions granted under trade agreements, unmanufactured zinc like or directly competitive with articles produced by the aforementioned zinc mine and mill at Hanover, New Mexico, is being imported into the United States in such increased quantities as to cause the unemployment of a significant number or proportion of the workers of such mine and mill.

The term "unmanufactured zinc" refers to zinc-bearing ores, zinc in blocks, pigs, or slabs, zinc dust, old and worn-out zinc, zinc dross, and zinc skimmings, provided for in paragraph 393 or paragraph 394 of the Tariff Act of 1930.

Petitioner has not requested a public hearing. A hearing will be held on request of any other party showing a proper interest in the subject matter of the investigation, provided the request is filed within 10 days after this notice is published in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

The petition filed in this case is available for inspection at the office of the Secretary, United States Tariff Commission, Eighth and E Streets NW., Washington, D.C., and at the New York City office of the Tariff Commission located in Room 437 of the Customhouse.

Issued: January 14, 1963.

By order of the Commission.

[SEAL]

DONN N. BENT,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 63-507; Filed, Jan. 16, 1963;
8:50 a.m.]

INTERSTATE COMMERCE COMMISSION

CURTIS DONALD BUFORD

Statement of Changes in Financial Interests

Pursuant to Subsection 302(c), Part III, Executive Order 10647 (20 F.R. 8769) "Providing for the Appointment of Certain Persons under the Defense Production Act of 1950, as amended," I hereby furnish for filing with the Division of the Federal Register for publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER the following information showing any changes in my financial interests and business connections as heretofore reported and published (26 F.R. 5350, 26 F.R. 11403 and 27 F.R. 5159) during the six months' period ended November 26, 1962.

Since my statement dated May 26, 1962, I have disposed of my Chrysler Stock and now own AT&T, New York Central, American Independent Reinsurance Co.

Dated: November 26, 1962.

C. D. BUFORD.

[F.R. Doc. 63-489; Filed, Jan. 16, 1963;
8:48 a.m.]

FOURTH SECTION APPLICATION FOR RELIEF

JANUARY 14, 1963.

Protests to the granting of an application must be prepared in accordance with Rule 40 of the general rules of practice (49 CFR 1.40) and filed within 15 days from the date of publication of this notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

LONG-AND-SHORT HAUL

FSA No. 38112: Potassium (Potash) to Astoria, Oreg. Filed by Trans-Continental Freight Bureau, Agent (No. 397), for interested rail carriers. Rates on potassium (potash), as described in the application, in carloads, from Carlsbad and Loving, N. Mex., also Machovec, Tex., to Astoria, Oreg.

Grounds for relief: Market competition.

Tariff: Supplement 65 to Trans-Continental Freight Bureau tariff I.C.C. 1668.

By the Commission.

[SEAL]

HAROLD D. McCox,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 63-490; Filed, Jan. 16, 1963;
8:49 a.m.]

[Notice 738]

MOTOR CARRIER TRANSFER PROCEEDINGS

JANUARY 14, 1963.

Synopses of orders entered pursuant to section 212(b) of the Interstate Commerce Act, and rules and regulations prescribed thereunder (49 CFR Part 179), appear below:

As provided in the Commission's special rules of practice any interested person may file a petition seeking reconsideration of the following numbered proceedings within 20 days from the date of publication of this notice. Pursuant to section 17(8) of the Interstate Commerce Act, the filing of such a petition will postpone the effective date of the order in that proceeding pending its disposition. The matters relied upon by petitioners must be specified in their petitions with particularity.

No. MC-FC 64996. By order of January 8, 1963, the Transfer Board approved the transfer to John A. Rivers Service, Inc., doing business as Park Road Moving and Transfer Co., Washington, D.C., of a portion of Certificate No. MC 84509 Sub 1, issued January 6, 1961, to Aetna Van Lines, Inc., Washington, D.C., authorizing the transportation of: Household goods, as defined by the Commission, over irregular routes, between points in Montgomery County, Md., within 10 miles of the Silver Spring post office, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in New York, New Jersey,

Pennsylvania, Delaware, Maryland, and Virginia. Samuel W. Earnshaw, 983 National Press Building, Washington, D.C., attorney for applicants.

MC-FC 65383. By order of January 8, 1963, the Transfer Board approved the transfer to Coyman Brothers, Inc., Hackensack, N.J., of Certificate No. MC 41907, issued July 1, 1959, to Nagle Excavating and Equipment Co., a corporation, Newark, N.J., authorizing the transportation of: Heavy machinery, between New York, N.Y., and points in New Jersey and New York within 100 miles of the City Hall, New York, N.Y. Robert B. Pepper, 880 Bergen Avenue, Jersey City 6, N.J., representative for applicants.

No. MC-FC 65502. By order of January 10, 1963, the Transfer Board approved the transfer to Henry's Lumber Hauling, a corporation, Portland, Ore., of Certificate No. MC 95070 Sub 2, issued July 21, 1953, to Chappell's, a corporation, Portland, Ore., authorizing the transportation of: Lumber, from and to or between points in Oregon and Wash-

ington, as specified and building materials, between Portland, Ore., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Clark County, Wash. Earle V. White, 2130 SW. Fifth Avenue, Portland 1, Ore., attorney for applicants.

No. MC-FC 65528. By order of January 10, 1963, the Transfer Board approved the transfer to John E. Gill, doing business as Old Colony Film Transfer Co., 48 Whipple Street, South Weymouth, Mass., of Certificate No. MC 47732, issued April 21, 1941, to Patrick J. Gill, doing business as Old Colony Film Transfer Company, 27 Huntington Road, Milton, Mass., authorizing the transportation of: Films, over irregular routes, between Boston, Mass., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Massachusetts. Bernard J. Braudis, Executor, Estate of Patrick F. Gill, 27 Huntington Road, Milton 86, Mass., for applicants.

[SEAL]

HAROLD D. McCoy,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 63-491; Filed, Jan. 16, 1963;
8:49 a.m.]

CUMULATIVE CODIFICATION GUIDE—JANUARY

The following numerical guide is a list of the parts of each title of the Code of Federal Regulations affected by documents published to date during January.

3 CFR	Page	5 CFR	Page	7 CFR—Continued	Page
PROCLAMATIONS:		6..... 51, 117, 207, 303, 443		990.....	61
Feb. 25, 1895.....	458	201.....	397	1001.....	62
Aug. 24, 1934.....	107	6 CFR		1003.....	62
Apr. 1, 1935.....	107	40.....	279	1006.....	62
Dec. 2, 1935.....	107	200.....	117	1007.....	62
Dec. 28, 1935.....	107	321—327.....	5	1008.....	62
May 16, 1936.....	107	321.....	5, 365	1009.....	62
Apr. 10, 1937.....	107	322.....	5, 365	1011.....	62
Nov. 25, 1938.....	107	331—337.....	5	1013.....	62
Dec. 6, 1939.....	107	351.....	365	1014.....	62
Dec. 19, 1939.....	107	352.....	365	1015.....	62
Dec. 22, 1939.....	107	354.....	366	1016.....	62
Dec. 29, 1941.....	107	373.....	366	1031.....	62
2761A.....	107	381.....	5	1033.....	62
2782.....	107	501.....	56	1036.....	62
2867.....	107	503.....	51	1038.....	62
2888.....	107	7 CFR		1040.....	62
2929.....	107	58.....	56	1045.....	62
2949.....	107	81.....	57, 397	1046.....	62
3007.....	107	401.....	57, 261	1047.....	62
3105.....	107	405.....	58	1048.....	62
3140.....	107	519.....	207	1051.....	62
3191.....	107	722.....	261	1061.....	62
3387.....	107	728.....	345	1063.....	62
3394.....	107	729.....	262	1064.....	62
3468.....	107	730.....	175, 176	1065.....	62
3479.....	107	776.....	303	1067.....	62
3511.....	49	812.....	149	1068.....	62
3512.....	103	827.....	262	1069.....	62
3513.....	107	905.....	20-22, 149-151	1070.....	62
EXECUTIVE ORDERS:		907.....	22, 151, 263, 345	1071.....	62
8647.....	320	910.....	118, 151, 345, 366	1072.....	62
10072.....	203	911.....	346	1073.....	62
10673.....	259	912.....	23, 152, 346	1094.....	62
10772.....	259	944.....	24, 152, 347	1096.....	62
10830.....	259	946.....	24	1098.....	62
10931.....	259	947.....	60	1103.....	62
11056.....	203	948.....	118	1104.....	62
11072.....	3	959.....	60-61	1105.....	62
11073.....	203	982.....	153	1106.....	62
11074.....	259			1107.....	62
				1120.....	62

7 CFR—Continued

	Page
1125	62
1126	62
1127	62
1128	62
1133	62
1135	62
1136	62
1137	62
1138	62
PROPOSED RULES:	
950	39
971	74
1135	371
1137	371

8 CFR

103	209
251	209
342	209

9 CFR

73	397
74	67, 303, 397
145	68
146	68
147	68
PROPOSED RULES:	
201	39

10 CFR

PROPOSED RULES:	
2	411
30	231
115	322

12 CFR

207	347
510	177
PROPOSED RULES:	
522	282

13 CFR

108	119
120	210
121	153
301	177
306	119

14 CFR

13	304
48	305
60	305, 306, 443
71 [New]	153, 178, 212, 398
73 [New]	443
75 [New]	178, 398
223	213
297	264
302	399
507	154, 307, 366
609	265, 444
610	307
PROPOSED RULES:	
71 [New]	184, 350, 351, 455
75 [New]	413, 414
294	323

15 CFR

40	119
50	119

16 CFR

13	70, 272, 273, 347, 367, 400, 401, 451
14	452
66	311

17 CFR

1	314
211	276
231	276
241	276
270	179, 401
PROPOSED RULES:	
200	455

18 CFR

4	315
5	315
16	315
24	315
101	402
131	316
201	402
PROPOSED RULES:	
11	128
35	128

19 CFR

1	71
9	155
18	213
24	213
25	213
PROPOSED RULES:	
1	74
8	280
9	280
10	280

20 CFR

404	214
-----	-----

21 CFR

8	71, 317, 452
120	348
121	24, 25, 71, 72, 348, 403
130	179, 183, 319
146	25, 183
PROPOSED RULES:	
120	159, 322, 381
121	40, 128, 159, 322, 351, 352

22 CFR

41	274, 367
42	274
44	274

24 CFR

203	403
207	403
213	403
220	404
221	404
608	404
810	404

25 CFR

Appendix	122
----------	-----

26 CFR

1	219, 367
16	277
48	348
198	219
PROPOSED RULES:	
1	280

29 CFR

523	452
PROPOSED RULES:	
545	377

31 CFR

341	405
-----	-----

32 CFR

1464	225
1472	453

32A CFR

OEP (Ch. 1):	
OCDM Reg. 4	122
OEP Reg. 4	122

33 CFR

203	28, 225, 368, 452
204	225, 319, 349
207	225, 319, 349

35 CFR

24	226
----	-----

36 CFR

213	155
PROPOSED RULES:	
7	39, 321

38 CFR

2	28, 453
3	28, 29, 72, 123, 183, 319
6	33
8	33
17	453
19	35

39 CFR

111	123
112	123

43 CFR

PUBLIC LAND ORDERS:	
559	320
2783	156
2852	320
PROPOSED RULES:	
13	74

46 CFR

510	349, 368
171	156
PROPOSED RULES:	
Ch. IV	74, 352

47 CFR

0	278, 369
1	36, 278, 279
3	316, 408
PROPOSED RULES:	
0	40
1	40
3	232
15	281

49 CFR

7	409
91	157
95	263
195	409
205	453
207	264
PROPOSED RULES:	
1—450	414
176	40

50 CFR

33	124-127, 157, 228, 229, 453
----	-----------------------------

8
6
2
9
9
8
0
0
2
1
9
7
3
9
3
4
4
0
53

